

## THE COLONIAL COLLEGE,

HOLLESLEY BAY, SUFFOLK.

SEASIDE. SPLENDID CLIMATE. 2,000 ACRES.

PRACTICAL TRAINING FOR LIFE IN THE  
COLONIES OR ELSEWHERE.

Full information from the DIRECTOR at the above address, or from Mr.  
GODFREY JOHNSON, 8, Victoria-street, Westminster.

## COMMERCIAL UNION

ASSURANCE CO., LIMITED.

NOW ISSUES FIDELITY GUARANTEE BONDS

Which are universally accepted.

MODERATE RATES TOGETHER WITH PROMPTITUDE.

TOTAL ASSETS EXCEED £5,000,000.

HEAD OFFICE: 24/25, CORNHILL, E.C.

FIRE, LIFE, MARINE, AND ACCIDENT.

THE OLDEST &amp; WEALTHIEST EXISTING MORTGAGE INSURANCE OFFICE.

THE LAW GUARANTEE AND TRUST  
SOCIETY, LIMITED.

SUBSCRIBED CAPITAL - £1,000,000. PAID-UP - £100,000.

FIDELITY GUARANTEES OF ALL KINDS. ADMINISTRATION AND LUNACY  
BONDS. MORTGAGE, DEBENTURE, LICENSE, AND CONTINGENCY  
INSURANCE. TRUSTEESHIPS FOR DEBENTURE-HOLDERS, &c.

HEAD OFFICE: 49, CHANCERY-LANE, W.C. | CITY OFFICE: 56, MOORGATE-STREET, E.C.

## IMPORTANT TO SOLICITORS

In Drawing LEASES or MORTGAGES of  
LICENSED PROPERTY

To see that the Insurance Covenants include a policy covering the risk of  
LOSS OR FORFEITURE OF THE LICENSE.

Suitable clauses, settled by Counsel, can be obtained on application to  
THE LICENSES INSURANCE CORPORATION AND  
GUARANTEE FUND, LIMITED,

24, MOORGATE STREET, LONDON, E.C.

Mortgages Guaranteed on Licensed Properties promptly, without  
special valuation and at low rates.

LEGAL AND GENERAL LIFE ASSURANCE  
SOCIETY.

ESTABLISHED 1836.

FUNDS	-	-	-	-	£ 3,000,000
INCOME	-	-	-	-	£ 390,000
YEARLY BUSINESS	-	-	-	-	£ 1,000,000
BUSINESS IN FORCE	-	-	-	-	£ 11,700,000

THE PERFECTED SYSTEM of Life Assurance is peculiar to this Society  
and embraces every modern advantage.

## PERFECTED MAXIMUM POLICIES.

WITHOUT PROFITS.

The Rates for these Whole Life Policies are very moderate.

Age	Premium	Age	Premium	Age	Premium
20	£1 7 8 %	30	£1 16 %	40	£2 10 %

£1,000 POLICY WITH BONUS

According to last results.

Valuation at 2½ p.c. :- Hm. Table of Mortality.

Duration	10 yrs.	20 yrs.	30 yrs.	40 yrs.
Amount of Policy	£1,199	£1,438	£1,724	£2,067

Next Bonus as at 31st December, 1901.

OFFICES: 10, FLEET STREET, LONDON.

VOL. XLV., No. 11.

## The Solicitors' Journal and Reporter.

LONDON, JANUARY 12, 1901.

\* The Editor cannot undertake to return rejected contributions, and  
copies should be kept of all articles sent by writers who are not on  
the regular staff of the JOURNAL.

## Contents.

CURRENT TOPICS	173	NEW ORDERS, &c.	179
THE COMMERCIAL COURT IN 1901	178	LAW SOCIETIES	183
CHANGES IN THE CONDUCT OF THE BUSINESS OF A NEW PUBLIC COM- PANY	177	LAW STUDENTS' JOURNAL	183
REVIEWS	178	LEGAL NEWS	183
CORRESPONDENCE	179	COURT PAPERS	184
		WINDING UP NOTICES	193
		CREDITORS' NOTICES	193
		BANKRUPTCY NOTICES	194

## Cases Reported this Week.

## In the Weekly Reporter.

Berry v. Halifax Commercial Banking Co. (Limited)	164
Clements, In re. Ex parte Clements	174
Coley's Trusts, In re. Gibson v. Gibson	165
Deverges v. Sandeman, Clark, & Co.	167
Douglas v. Bolam	163
Merrill v. Thomas Wilson, Sons, & Co. (Limited)	141
The Queen v. Sowter	170
The Queen v. Stoddart	173
Vestry of St. James and St. John, Clerkenwell (Appellants) v. Edmondson & Son (Respondents)	171
Walker, In re. Summers v. Barrow	167

## CURRENT TOPICS.

THE DRAFT Rules of the Supreme Court, which were printed  
ante, p. 97, have now been signed, and are, by way of reminder,  
republished elsewhere; but no alterations appear to have been  
made in the draft.

THE "RETAINED" list of witness actions before Mr. Justice  
FARWELL will not be taken before the 1st of February, but  
Mr. Justice JOYCE will take his "retained" list on Tuesday  
next.

THE QUEEN'S Bench Division lists shew a total of 684 causes,  
as against 772 at the commencement of the last sittings and  
768 a year ago. There are now 490 actions for trial as against  
615 last sittings.

THE CHANCERY Cause List remains at almost exactly the  
same figure as at the commencement of the last sittings, there  
being then 360 causes and matters and now 361. A year ago  
there were 462. Witness actions still account for the greater  
part of the 361 matters, and we shall see at the end of the  
present sittings how far the new arrangements alter this  
hitherto invariable result.

THE APPEAL list for the ensuing sittings does not shew any  
very considerable diminution. There are 319 appeals in all,  
as against 350 at the commencement of the Michaelmas Sittings  
and 328 a year ago. The appeals from the Chancery Division  
number 136, while there were 169 at the commencement of the  
last sittings, and only 111 a year ago. The appeals from the  
Queen's Bench Division have risen from 131 at the commence-  
ment of the last sittings to 140; and there are 11 Workmen's  
Compensation appeals—a large reduction from the number (38)  
at the commencement of the last sittings.

WE HAVE to report a "slump" during the last fortnight in  
the advertisements in the Times of applications for registra-  
tion with absolute title. None have come to our notice during  
that period, and the statistics appear now to stand at eight  
advertised applications during the last eleven weeks, ending on

Thursday last, with regard to London land, and none with regard to land outside the compulsory district.

AGAIN WE have had the unanimous presentment of a grand jury in favour of an extension of the power to flog criminals. This time it was a grand jury of the City of London sitting at the Central Criminal Court; and they expressed, with regard to robbery with violence, their conviction that "freer application of corporal punishment would serve as a wholesome deterrent to the class of criminal particularly addicted to this form of crime." Now, this crime is the one which the judges have adequate power to punish with the "cat," as the Recorder of London explained. It must, therefore, be assumed that the grand jurors were rather urging the judges to use their powers more freely than advocating any change in the law. The recorder told them that great care and caution were necessary in the use of these powers, because of public opinion, otherwise there might be a popular outcry and the judges might lose what powers they already possess. No one who approves of treating violent brutes with violence in return will complain of the way the recorder uses his power. He orders flogging with great care and discrimination, but he never hesitates to order it in a proper case. If the judges of the High Court took the same course more generally, probably crimes of violence would be less frequent. The question arises, however, upon the recorder's words, Is there a considerable body of public opinion hostile to flogging? We have constant presentments by grand juries all over the country in favour of extending this punishment to persons guilty of felonious assaults on young girls. These presentments are very often unanimous, and are the recommendations of men of education and intelligence. It would be probably very hard to persuade twenty-three men to agree as to the advisability of any other change in the law, yet we frequently hear of that number unanimously agreeing as to the necessity of this change. That hardly looks as if there were a very great number of persons of the contrary opinion. There are, no doubt, many persons who strongly object, on more or less maudlin grounds, to inflicting this form of punishment under any circumstances whatever. But however much noise these persons make (and they can make a good deal), we believe that they form but a very small body, and that a little courage is all that is required to induce Parliament to alter the law in a way which is calculated to save many little children from foul outrage.

AN INTERESTING point as to the circumstances under which an article not physically attached to the freehold can be a fixture arose in *Monti v. Barnes* (49 W. R. 147), where the Court of Appeal affirmed the decision of BIGHAM, J. According to the test laid down by PARKE, B., in *Hellawell v. Eastwood* (6 Ex. 295), and approved in *Holland v. Hodgson* (20 W. R. 990, L. R. 7 C. P. 328), the question whether a chattel is so fixed as to become parcel of the freehold is a question of fact, depending, first, on the mode of annexation, and the facility with which the thing can be moved; and secondly, whether it was for the permanent and substantial improvement of the dwelling, or merely for a temporary purpose. In the above case the owner of a freehold house mortgaged it, and after the mortgage he removed certain fixed grates, and substituted for them dog-grates which were not fixed, but rested by their weight upon the ground. The mortgagor subsequently assigned the equity of redemption together with the furniture in the house, and the assignee removed the dog-grates. The mortgagee foreclosed and then brought the action to recover possession of the dog-grates and of certain fittings on the ground that they were fixtures passing with the freehold. If the grates were judged by the former of the tests stated above there is no doubt that they would not be fixtures. They were not physically attached to the house at all and were easily removable. But though in general articles standing merely by their own weight upon the ground are not fixtures, yet even these will be deemed to be part of the land if such was the intention of the party who put them there. Thus statues and vases, though resting

by their own weight only, are fixtures if they are part of the architectural design of the premises: *D'Eyncourt v. Gregory* (3 Eq. 382). In such cases the second of the above tests has to be applied, and the article, although not attached to the premises, is a fixture if it was introduced, not for a temporary purpose, but for the permanent improvement of the premises. In the present case it was a material consideration that the dog-grates had been substituted for other grates which were clearly fixtures, and the Court of Appeal had no difficulty in arriving at the conclusion that they conformed to the test. They were not meant for temporary use, but were introduced for the purpose of improving the house, and consequently were fixtures.

THE SUBJECT of fortune-telling has again been before a London magistrate, and a case is likely to be stated for the decision of the High Court. It was an ordinary case of the practice of palmistry, and it raised the question whether the practice of palmistry for gain is legal or not, irrespective of intention. Mr. Bros answered that it is illegal, and refused to consider whether or not the accused person herself believed in palmistry, holding it irrelevant to prove that there was no intention to deceive. The proceedings were under section 4 of the Vagrancy Act, 1824, which makes liable to punishment "every person pretending or professing to tell fortunes, or using any subtle craft, means, or device, by palmistry or otherwise, to deceive and impose on any of his Majesty's subjects." Now, in *Reg. v. Entwistle* (1899, 1 Q. B. 846) it was held that a conviction was good on an information alleging that the accused "did pretend or profess to tell fortunes," but not averring that she did so "to deceive and impose on any of her Majesty's subjects," as these last words do not apply to the first words in the section. The court held, further, that an intent to deceive is included in the words "pretending or professing"; but that if there is no deceit there is no pretending or professing, as these words mean a representation with the intention that the representation should be believed. There may be a "pretence" in another and quite innocent sense. In the words of DARLING, J., "In my opinion, if a person were to say, 'I am not a real fortune-teller; I cannot tell fortunes; what I am about to tell you must not deceive you in any way; but I will pretend or profess to tell your fortune by the use of the ordinary means which people use to tell fortunes,' then no offence would be committed." It appears, therefore, that, in the opinion of the court, any one comes under the Act who makes a pretence of telling fortunes with the intention that such pretence should be believed. The court, however, did not directly face the question, which Mr. Bros was asked to decide, whether a person can be convicted who really believes that he can tell fortunes. Now, there are probably persons in this country (otherwise sane) who do in fact believe in palmistry and astrology. Is the belief of such persons to be inquired into by the law? It is submitted that it is not. There seems to be a presumption of law against the existence of any such belief. The case of *Penny v. Harrison* (35 W. R. 379, 18 Q. B. D. 478) is directly in point. The accused was an astrologer, and it was argued in his favour that there was no evidence that he did not believe in what he professed to do. DENMAN, J., however, said: "In this case *res ipsa loquitur*. It is absurd to suggest that this man could have believed in his ability to predict the fortunes of another by knowing the hour and place of his birth and the aspect of the stars at such time. We do not live in times when any sane man believes in such a power." And MATHEW, J., said "I am entirely of the same opinion." Probably any judge of the High Court would take the same view of palmistry. In this country every person is entitled to hold any opinion he chooses, however absurd that opinion may be. He may believe that sick persons can be healed by faith alone, or that he can tell how many children a woman will have by inspecting the lines on her hand. As soon, however, as he tries to impose his belief on another, to the injury or loss of that other, or to his own pecuniary gain, it is time for the law to step in and save ignorant persons from the consequences of their own ignorance.

THE DECISION of the Court of Appeal in *Re Travis* (49 W. R. 38) is important with reference to the disposal of

income which is directed to accumulate for a period exceeding the twenty-one years allowed by the Thellusson Act (39 & 40 Geo. 3, c. 98). Inasmuch as the direction for accumulation beyond the prescribed period is void, the natural course is to give the income thus set free to the persons who would be entitled to the corpus at the end of the period which the testator has specified, and, where possible, it seems that this will be done. Thus if a testator, after giving an annuity, directs that the surplus income shall be accumulated for the life of the annuitant, and the annuitant survives the testator more than twenty-one years, the direction for accumulation ceases to be operative, and the persons entitled to the corpus on the death of the annuitant should take the income. But in order that this result may follow, such persons must have an absolute vested interest in the corpus. The failure of the direction for accumulation then accelerates their interests on the principle of *Saunders v. Vautier* (Cr. & Ph. 240), and there is no reason why they should not enter at once into receipt of the income subject only to keeping down the annuity: *Wharton v. Masterman* (43 W. R. 449; 1895, A. C. 186). Moreover it is also necessary, apparently, that there should be no other disposition of the accumulated income which, if the accumulation were valid, might lessen the amount coming to the ultimate beneficiaries. In *Weatherall v. Thornburgh* (26 W. R. 593, 8 Ch. D. 261) the accumulations were to be applied in paying certain legacies, and only the residue was given over. It was held that there was an intestacy as to the residue of the income, and the ultimate beneficiary was not allowed to take it even upon terms of providing for the legacies. The judgment of COTTON, L.J., shews clearly that it was this prior claim of the legatees which stood in the way of the acceleration of the ultimate gift. The judgment of JAMES, L.J., suggests, indeed, that he declined in such a case to allow any acceleration of the interest of the ultimate beneficiary, and thought that since the testator had postponed the gift to him till after the annuitant's death he was necessarily debarred from taking the income in the meantime. So far as this was the ground of the decision in *Weatherall v. Thornburgh*, it seems to be inconsistent with the judgments of the House of Lords in *Wharton v. Masterman* (*supra*). The mere fact that the testator has postponed the enjoyment of the ultimate gift till after the death of an annuitant, and has given an invalid direction for accumulation in the meantime, is not sufficient to create an intestacy as to the income. In *Re Travis*, however, the judgment of JAMES, L.J., in *Weatherall v. Thornburgh* seems to have been assumed to be correct, and, although under the circumstances there were probably absolute vested interests in the ultimate beneficiaries, they were not allowed to profit by the failure of the direction for accumulation, and the court declared in favour of an intestacy. The case is likely to cause some difficulty in the future in advising on similar points.

WHEN is the account of a customer with a bank "closed"? In ordinary circumstances there will be little enough difficulty in answering this question. The account is voluntarily closed on the part of the customer because he has reason for carrying his money elsewhere, or the account is closed on the part of the bank because the customer has no money either to leave or to carry away, and further dealings with him will only involve the bank in risk. But occasionally, as the recent case of *Berry v. Halifax Commercial Bank* (*ante*, p. 98) shews, the point is by no means free from doubt. The customer by a mortgage made by deed in 1898 had assigned to the bank a policy on his life to secure the deficit on his current account. The mortgage contained a power of sale if default should be made in payment of the balance owing to the bank for one month after the account was closed. No formal notice of closing the account was given on either side, but at the end of 1899, when the bank were pressing the customer to reduce his debit balance, which then amounted to over £500, the customer wrote to the bank informing the manager that a meeting of his creditors had taken place and that all his assets were to be assigned to a trustee for them. Shortly afterwards a deed of assignment was executed, and the bank subsequently

sold the policy under their power of sale. The plaintiff, who was the trustee under the deed of assignment, objected that the account had never been closed, and that the power of sale had not arisen. The only matter which could be regarded as a closing of the account was the customer's letter announcing his insolvency, and KEEWICH, J., was of opinion that that was sufficient. If, he said, that letter meant anything, it meant, "Here is an end of our business relations; I have assigned everything, including the securities held by you, to a trustee for my creditors." From a practical point of view there can be little doubt that this construction of the letter was correct. After such an intimation the bank would understand that there could be no more dealings in the account, and they were justified in treating it as closed without any formal intimation. The sale of the policy accordingly was regular.

It is a familiar principle that an agreement entered into by a trustee for an intended company cannot be ratified after the formation of the company so as to make it binding on the company. To produce this result the company must itself enter into a fresh contract to the same effect: *Kelner v. Baxter* (15 W. R. 278, L. R. 2 C. P. 174), *Re Northumberland Avenue Hotel Co.* (33 Ch. D. 16). "It is not disputed," said COTTON, L.J., in the latter of these cases, "that the company, after it was formed, could not ratify the authority of the gentleman who purported to act as their trustee before they were incorporated, and who therefore could not have any authority to do so." An illustration of this principle is afforded by the recent decision of KEEWICH, J., in *Bagot Pneumatic Tyre Co. (Limited) v. Clipper Pneumatic Tyre Co. (Limited)* (*ante*, p. 117). The plaintiff company had entered into an agreement with A. under which they were to grant the exclusive licence to use certain patents to a company to be formed by A., and were to receive as the consideration a specified share in the profits of the company. A. sold the exclusive licence to B. on behalf of the intended company, and a few days after the date of the agreement the company, which was the defendant company in the above action, was incorporated. Subsequently the agreement entered into by B. was adopted by the company in pursuance of the articles of association, but such adoption was naturally held to be ineffectual to produce any contractual relation between the plaintiff and defendant companies, nor was the defendant company held liable on the ground that it had by its conduct treated the agreement as binding. COTTON, L.J., in the judgment from which we have already quoted, said: "The erroneous opinion that a contract entered into before the company came into existence was binding on the company, and the acting on that erroneous opinion, does not make a good contract between the company" and the other party. What is required is a new contract entered into by the company, and this is not constituted by the erroneous recognition of a contract which is not binding. Similarly in the present case, the fact that the defendant company believed they were liable and acted on that belief, did not create a liability under the contract. To bind a company a contract entered into directly with it after its incorporation is essential.

AN INTERESTING point on the construction of section 11 of the Settled Land Act, 1882, which controls the application of rents received by a tenant for life under mining leases, was decided by STIRLING, J., in *Re Chaytor* (49 W. R. 125). The section provides that under such a lease, whether the mines or minerals leased are already opened or in work or not, unless a contrary intention is expressed in the settlement, a certain proportion of the rents must be set aside as capital moneys arising under the Act—namely, if the tenant for life is impeachable for waste, three-fourths, and otherwise, one-fourth. What, then, is to be the test whether the tenant for life is impeachable for waste? To avoid being impeachable must he be expressly declared by the settlement not to be impeachable for waste, or is it sufficient that under the circumstances the law will hold him unimpeachable? In the latter case he will not be impeachable for waste in respect of mines which were open at the date of the settlement, the mere fact that the

land is conveyed with open mines being regarded as a sufficient indication of intention that the beneficiaries are to have the advantages to be derived from the mines. This is explained in the judgment of BOWEN, L.J., in *Dashwood v. Magniac* (1891, 3 Ch. 306), to which STIRLING, J., referred in *Re Chaytor*. Upon principle there seems to be no reason why a tenant for life should not have the benefit of the same doctrine in the application of section 11 of the Settled Land Act, and though there are expressions in the section which prevent the point from being altogether free from difficulty, STIRLING, J., decided in favour of this construction. Accordingly a tenant for life who is entitled to work mines, whether the power is expressly conferred upon him by the settlement, or arises from the circumstance of the mines being open, is only bound to set aside as capital moneys one-fourth of the mining rents.

IN COMMENTING on the decision in *Re Four Solicitors* (*ante*, p. 62) we expressed the opinion that the court might well have taken a more lenient view than they did; and we still think that the respondents were, under the circumstances, rather hardly dealt with. The case was the first of the kind which had been raised, and it was not proved that any pecuniary mischief had resulted to the client. Moreover, the court are reported to have said, with regard to the complainant in the case, that "he made a number of most grave charges against the respondents which were abandoned in the course of the inquiry." We do not see, however, that any advantage would result from the publication of a correspondence which has passed between Mr. HERBERT W. LYDALL and the secretary of the Incorporated Law Society, a copy of which has been forwarded to us, and which mainly relates to the question whether action should be taken by the society against the complainant in the recent case. The matter is stated to have been referred by the Council to a committee for consideration, and the Council intimated subsequently that they did not propose to take any proceedings. There, we think, the matter should rest.

#### THE COMMERCIAL COURT IN 1900.

ON the 1st of March, 1901, five years will have elapsed since Mr. Justice MATHEW, in pursuance of the scheme formulated by the judges for making "further provision for the dispatch of commercial business," took his seat in what has come to be popularly known as the Commercial Court. The main features of the new scheme were that commercial causes were to be placed in a separate list, that all interlocutory proceedings in an action in that list should be dealt with by the judge to whom, for the time being, the commercial business was assigned, and that a date should be fixed for the trial of each case to suit the convenience of the parties. It was hoped that by these means a large volume of commercial work might be brought back to the law courts, which, owing to a variety of causes, had for some time past been diverted into other channels. From the outset the new scheme worked well, and attained the desired object in a very marked degree. During the past year Mr. Justice MATHEW sat in the Commercial Court on ninety-eight days, Mr. Justice KENNEDY on fifty-three days, and Mr. Justice BIGHAM on thirty-five days. The number of cases disposed of in court amounted to 254. When it is borne in mind that, if it were not for the Commercial Court, probably not one-tenth of these actions would ever have been brought, these figures afford striking evidence of the usefulness of that court, and of the extent to which it satisfies the requirements of business men.

Among the cases decided were many of great interest, both to the legal profession and also to the commercial world. In the *Drieffontein Consolidated Mines (Limited) v. Jansen* (1900, 2 Q. B. 339), the plaintiffs, a mining company incorporated under the laws of the South African Republic, sought to recover a loss under a policy of insurance effected with underwriters at Lloyds, by which bullion was insured during transit from the plaintiffs' mines, near Johannesburg, to the United Kingdom. The gold, amounting to £300,000 in value, was seized while in transit by the officials of the Transvaal Government on the 2nd of October, 1899,

at Vereeniging, the last station in Transvaal territory. The underwriters disputed their liability to make good the loss on various grounds. It was contended that, although President KRUGER's ultimatum to the British Government was not issued until the 9th of October, a state of war actually existed on the 2nd of October, or that the seizure was made at any rate with a view to expected hostilities. Mr. Justice MATHEW gave judgment in favour of the plaintiffs, holding that a state of war did not exist on the 2nd of October, and that the declaration of war on the 9th of October did not, by relation back to the 2nd of October, constitute the plaintiffs alien enemies at the time of the seizure. Owing to the magnitude of the amount at stake and the importance of the decision as covering other cases, it is not surprising that notice of appeal has been lodged against the judgment. In *Charlesworth v. Faber* (5 Com. Cas. 408) the court had to consider whether the clause, known at Lloyds as the continuation clause, by which a time policy is extended to cover a vessel which is at sea at the expiration of the period of the policy, is of so unusual a character that the non-disclosure of the presence of the clause in a policy would invalidate a re-insurance policy expressed to be subject to the same clauses as the original policy. After hearing the evidence of several underwriters and insurance brokers from Lloyds, BIGHAM, J., held that the clause was a usual one, and that its non-disclosure did not invalidate the re-insurance policy, but the learned judge was of opinion that the presence of the clause in a policy rendered the policy one for more than twelve months, and therefore null and void. On this ground judgment was given for the defendant. The case of *Montgomery v. Indemnity Mutual Marine Assurance Co.* (6 Com. Cas., Part I.) raised a question whether a shipowner, who is also the owner of the cargo, can recover a general average contribution from the underwriters on cargo. In a case decided in 1893 Mr. Justice BARNES expressed the opinion that in these circumstances there was no liability to contribute in general average. In the present case Mr. Justice MATHEW took the opposite view, and we believe that it has always been the practice of average staters to allow general average in such a case. The Court of Appeal will doubtless be given an opportunity of deciding which view shall prevail.

But shipowners and underwriters are not the only persons who fight out their disputes in the Commercial Court. The important question whether a jobber on the Stock Exchange who has entered into a contract with a broker acting for a client, has, in the event of the broker's failure, any remedy against the client, was much discussed in the case of *Beckhussen v. Hamblot* (1900, 2 Q. B. 18). Mr. Justice KENNEDY held that there is in certain cases privity of contract between the jobber and the broker's client. This decision was followed by Mr. Justice MATHEW in two subsequent cases. The decision of the House of Lords in *Saxon Ship Co. v. Union Steamship Co.* (5 Com. Cas. 381) terminated a litigation which arose out of the great coal strike in South Wales in 1898. Their lordships, reversing the decision of the Court of Appeal and restoring that of Lord RUSSELL OF KILLOWEN, held that, on the true construction of a document called a "colliery guarantee," shipowners were entitled to demurrage for the time during which a ship was detained at Cardiff by reason of the strike. This case inferentially overruled the decision of the Court of Appeal and Mr. Justice BIGHAM in *Chirk v. Hickie, Borman, & Co.* (4 Com. Cas. 292).

In the case of *Sea Insurance Co. v. Carr* (1901, 1 Q. B. 7) the Court of Appeal, following an earlier case, definitely laid it down that an appeal lies from the order of a judge transferring a case to the commercial list. The practical importance of this decision would not appear to be very great, because in the great majority of cases the order to transfer is made by consent. On the question of appeals from the Commercial Court, it may be noted that, since the institution of the court, out of fifty-two reported cases which went to the Court of Appeal, the appeal was successful in eleven instances only, and if the statistics of all the appeals from the Commercial Court were examined, it would probably be found that the percentage of successful appeals was much lower than this.

Lord Dunboyne, one of the Masters of the Supreme Court, has been elected a representative peer of Ireland.

## CHANGES IN THE CONDUCT OF THE BUSINESS OF A NEW PUBLIC COMPANY.

### AS TO ALLOTMENT OF SHARES.

1.—A first allotment is not to be made unless the minimum subscription fixed by the memorandum or articles has been subscribed; or if no minimum subscription is so fixed, unless the whole amount of the share capital offered to the public for subscription has been subscribed, and in either case the amounts payable on application have been received by the company (section 4 (1)). Such amount must not be less than 5 per cent. of the nominal amount of the share (section 4 (3)).

2.—If the company do not, in accordance with the above provisions, become entitled to go to allotment within forty days after the first issue of the prospectus, all application money is to be forthwith repaid to the applicants without interest, and if not so repaid within forty-eight days after the issue of the prospectus, the directors will be jointly and severally liable to repay the money with interest at 5 per cent. from the expiration of the forty-eight days; but a director is not to be liable if he proves that the loss of the money was not due to any misconduct or negligence on his part (*ib.* (4)).

3.—The allotment of shares will apparently be only provisional until the company has become entitled to commence business (section 6 (3)).

4.—An allotment made in contravention of the above provisions will be voidable by the applicant within one month after the statutory meeting, notwithstanding that the company is being wound up (section 5 (1)); and a director knowingly contravening or permitting the contravention of such provisions is liable to compensate the company and the allottee for any loss, damages, or costs incurred thereby (*ib.* (2)).

5.—A return of the allotments must within one month thereafter be filed with the registrar (section 7 (1) (a)). The form of this return is prescribed by Form No. 45 of the Board of Trade Rules.

6.—A contract must be filed with the registrar within the same time as to paid-up shares, also any contract of sale, or for services, or other consideration for paid-up shares; also a return of the paid-up shares allotted (section 7 (1) (b)). The last-mentioned form No. 45 includes this.

### AS TO COMMENCING BUSINESS.

7.—Business must not be commenced until—

(a) Shares payable in cash have been allotted to not less than the minimum subscription;

(b) Every director has paid application and allotment moneys at the rate specified in the prospectus on his qualification shares.

(c) There has been filed with the registrar a statutory declaration by the secretary or one of the directors that the above provisions have been complied with (section 6 (1)). The form of this declaration is prescribed by Form 44 of the Board of Trade Rules;

(d) A certificate has been given by the registrar that the company is entitled to commence business (section 6 (2)).

8.—A register containing the names, addresses, and occupations of the directors or managers of the company must be kept at the company's registered office, and a copy must be sent to the registrar, and he must be notified from time to time any change in such directors or managers (section 20).

### AS TO EXERCISE OF BORROWING POWERS.

9.—These powers must not be exercised until—

(a) Shares payable in cash have been allotted to not less than the minimum subscription;

(b) Every director has paid application and allotment moneys at the rate specified in the prospectus on his qualification shares;

(c) Statutory declaration by secretary or one of the directors has been filed as above, 7 (c) (section 6 (1)).

[The following provisions do not apply to a mortgage or specific charge of land unless given to secure an issue of debentures or debenture stock.]

10.—Debentures containing a charge and any trust deed

for securing debentures must be "filed with the registrar for registration" within twenty-one days after the date of its creation (section 14 (1)). This strange provision is now interpreted by the Board of Trade Rules as meaning that the instrument evidencing the charge must be "presented" when the particulars next mentioned are furnished (see note to Form No. 47 of rules). The time for registration may be extended by a judge of the High Court (section 15).

11.—Particulars for registration are to be supplied by the company to the registrar (section 14 (7)). The particulars to be given and mode of giving them are prescribed by Form No. 47.

12.—Certificate of registration is to be given by the registrar (*ib.* (6)).

13.—Copy of this certificate must be indorsed on every debenture or certificate of debenture stock (*ib.*).

14.—Copy of one debenture of a series and of any trust deed to be kept at the registered office of the company, to be open for inspection by members and creditors of the company (*ib.* (9)).

15.—On payment off of debentures, the registrar may order memorandum of satisfaction to be entered on the register (section 16).

### THE STATUTORY MEETING.

16.—To be held within not less than one month, nor more than three months, from the date at which the company is entitled to commence business (section 12 (1)).

17.—The company cannot, before the holding of this meeting, vary the terms of a contract referred to in the prospectus, except subject to the approval of the meeting (section 11). The lesson of this provision is that, where any modification is at all likely to be required in the provisions of a contract, such modification should be inserted in the contract by way of alternative or option.

18.—Seven days at least before the day of holding the meeting the directors must forward to every member a report, certified by not less than two directors, and as to certain particulars by the auditors (if any) of the company (section 12 (2), (3)), stating—

(a) The total number of shares allotted;

(b) The shares allotted as fully or partly paid up, and the extent to which they are paid up, and the consideration for their allotment;

(c) The total cash received by the company in respect of shares payable in cash or partly paid up;

(d) The receipts and payments of the company on capital account to the date of the report and an account or estimate of the preliminary expenses of the company;

(e) Names, addresses, and descriptions of the directors, auditors (if any), manager (if any), and secretary of the company; and

(f) Particulars of any contract to be submitted to the meeting for approval of any modification therein, with particulars of the proposed modification (section 12 (2)). A form of this report is given in Form 46 in the above-mentioned rules.

19.—A copy of this report must be filed with the registrar forthwith after it is sent to the members (section 12 (4)).

20.—At the meeting a list of the names, descriptions, and addresses of the members, and the number of shares held by them respectively, must be produced, and be accessible to members at the commencement of and during the meeting (*ib.* (5)).

21.—The members may at the meeting discuss any matters as to the formation of the company or arising out of the report, with or without previous notice, but no resolution of which notice has not been given in accordance with the articles can be passed (*ib.* (6)); but the meeting may be adjourned, and at the adjourned meeting any resolution of which such notice has been given, before or subsequently to the former meeting, may be passed.

### THE ANNUAL SUMMARY.

22.—In addition to the particulars required by section 26 of the Companies Act, 1862, this summary must distinguish between shares issued for cash and paid-up shares, and must specify—

- (a) The amount due on mortgages and charges by the company requiring registration under the new Act; and  
 (b) Names and addresses of the directors of the company at the date of the summary. This summary must be signed by the manager or secretary of the company (section 19).

#### AS TO AUDITORS.

[The following provisions can hardly be described as changes, but had better be mentioned.]

23.—First auditors may be appointed by the directors before the statutory meeting, and will hold office till the first annual general meeting, unless removed by resolution of general meeting, in which case the shareholders may appoint auditors (section 21 (4)). Directors may fix their remuneration (section 22).

24.—Subsequent auditors to be appointed at the annual general meeting (*Ib.* (1), section 22), or, if not so appointed, an auditor may, on the application of any member, be appointed by the Board of Trade (section 21 (2)).

25.—Casual vacancies may be filled by the directors (*Ib.* (5)), who may fix the remuneration.

26.—Director or officer of the company is not to be capable of being appointed an auditor (*Ib.* (3)).

27.—Remuneration of auditors not appointed by the directors to be fixed by general meeting (*Ib.* (2)).

28.—Auditors to have access to books, accounts, and vouchers, and to be entitled to require information and explanation from directors and officers of the company (section 23).

29.—The auditors are to sign certificate at foot of balance-sheet stating whether or not all their requirements as auditors have been complied with, and to report to shareholders on the accounts and balance-sheet, stating whether, in their opinion, the balance-sheet is properly drawn up. This report is to be read at the general meeting (*Ib.*).

#### REVIEWS.

##### THE COMPANIES ACT, 1900.

THE COMPANIES ACT, 1900 (63 & 64 Vict. c. 48). WITH INTRODUCTION, FULL ANNOTATED SECTIONS, FORMS OF PROSPECTUSES, &c. By ALFRED HENRY RUEGG, Q.C., and LEONARD MOSSOP, B.C.L., Barrister-at-Law. Butterworth & Co.

The introduction prefixed to this work goes fully into the circumstances which resulted in the passing of the Companies Act, 1900, and it compares in an interesting manner the recommendations of Lord Davey's Committee with the provisions ultimately adopted by the Legislature, and also states the suggested reforms—such as the abolition of one-man companies and the supervision of companies by State officials—which found no place in the report and are similarly absent from the Act. This part of the work is not germane to the practical working of the Act, which must depend upon the provisions as they are enacted, but the authors have performed a useful service in tracing the genesis of the latest addition to the statute law of companies. Passing from the history of the Act, the authors next proceed to indicate the changes which it has introduced, and to state its effect upon the existing law. The details of their commentary are, however, naturally reserved for the notes appended to the sections of the Act, and in compiling these care has been taken to refer in detail to the numerous existing decisions which throw light on the new enactments. The fulness of the case law incorporated in the book seems, indeed, to be its distinguishing feature. Instances will be found at p. 35 in the references to cases which have discussed "payment in cash"; at p. 67, cases where contracts have been held to be material; and at pp. 97 and 98, where the elements which constitute a bill of sale are considered. Attention should also be called to the forms of prospectus given in an appendix. The books on the new Act are, in their nature, of only temporary importance, for the Act will soon cease to be new and will be treated as part of the general company law. But as long as separate works on the Act are required, Messrs. Ruegg & Mossop's book is likely to attract a good deal of favour.

THE COMPANIES ACT, 1900. WITH COMMENTARIES. By PAUL FREDERICK SIMONSON, Barrister-at-Law. Eppingham Wilson; Sweet & Maxwell.

The Companies Act, 1900, has naturally attracted a host of commentators. Mr. Simonson's book gives the text of the Act, and illustrates it by very copious notes. These show in detail the effect which past decisions are likely to have on the con-

struction of the Act, and also the probable results of the new enactments in practice. "Payment in cash," for instance, and equivalent expressions have been very freely admitted, notwithstanding the discussions which have arisen upon the phrase, and it is necessary to construe them by reference to *Spargo's case* (L. R. 8 Ch. 407)—from the judgment of James, L.J., in which Mr. Simonson gives a lengthy extract—reinforced as it has been by recent expressions of approval in the Privy Council. Mr. Simonson points out in his preface numerous instances in which the drafting of the Act is likely to lead to trouble notwithstanding the length of time during which it was under consideration, and he has included an introduction stating briefly the alterations in the law which the Act introduces. Altogether the work constitutes a very useful guide to the Act.

#### CONVEYANCING.

A CONCISE TREATISE ON THE LAW AND PRACTICE OF CONVEYANCING. TOGETHER WITH THE SOLICITORS' REMUNERATION ACT, 1881, AND GENERAL ORDER, 1882, AND THE LAND TRANSFER ACTS, 1875 AND 1897, AND THE RULES AND ORDERS THEREON. By RICHARD HALLILAY, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. SECOND EDITION. HORACE COX.

The author of this work expresses the hope that "it will be found sufficient for examination purposes on the subjects it embraces, and will also meet the requirements of the young practitioner while conducting the ordinary duties of a conveyancing practice." From this sentence we gather that the book has been written for the use of students, and that it is intended to be read as a preparation for examinations. For any such purpose, however, it appears to be too crowded with details. We may perhaps underrate the powers of the present-day student, but we cannot understand anyone sitting down to learn the contents of Mr. Hallilay's book. Considered as a book of practice, on the other hand, it deserves very different criticism. It is one thing to give a student the principles upon which he is to found the fuller knowledge which comes with practice, and quite another to collect the law with reference to the various matters which arise in connection with conveyancing. And while we cannot recommend Mr. Hallilay's work for examination purposes, it will be found a most useful book of reference in the course of business. It cannot take the place of the various books on special branches of the subject, but conveyancing as a whole is treated with much fulness of detail, and the most recent decisions, with reference to all the current series of reports, appear to have been carefully incorporated. It is obvious that great labour has been expended in making the work reliable and complete.

#### BOOKS RECEIVED.

The Institutes. A Text-book of the History and System of Roman Private Law. By RUDOLPH SOHM, Professor of German Law and Ecclesiastical Law in the University of Leipzig. Translated by JAMES CRAWFORD LEDLIE, B.C.L., M.A., Barrister-at-Law. With an Introduction by ERWIN GRUEBER, Dr. Jur., M.A. Second Edition. The Clarendon Press; Stevens & Sons (Limited).

The Yearly County Court Practice, 1901. Founded on Archbold's County Court Practice and Pitt-Lewis' County Court Practice. By G. PITT-LEWIS, Q.C., Recorder of Poole, a Benchor of the Middle Temple, Sir C. ARNOLD WHITE, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law, Chief Justice of Madras, and ARCHIBALD READ, B.A., of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. The Chapter on Costs and the Precedents of Costs, by Mr. MORTEN TURNER, Registrar of the Watford County Court. Thin Edition. Two Volumes in one. Butterworth & Co.; Shaw & Sons.

A Complete Catalogue of Leading Law Works. Arranged under the Authors' Names. Together with a Complete Chronological List of all the English Reports, with Period Covered, &c. An Alphabetical Table of Abbreviation used in the various Reports and Text-books, and an Alphabetical Index of Subjects. Butterworth & Co.

At the Mansion-house police-court, on the 4th inst., Christopher Cleobury, solicitor, and Joseph Smith, traveller, were charged on remand with, on or about September 5, 1899, conspiring together to obtain the sum of £1 4s. 6d. from Frederick Holmes by false pretences. The prosecution alleged that the defendant Smith called on tradesmen in London and the country and asked them to become members of the United Kingdom Inquiry and Trade Protection Association, Cheapside, which was an association for collecting debts. The tradesmen refused, but they subsequently received an application for £1 1s., subscription to the association, and if they declined to pay it they received a letter signed in the name of the defendant Cleobury saying that unless the £1 1s. together with 3s. 6d. in addition were paid, legal proceedings would be taken to recover it. The evidence for the prosecution was continued, and the case was ultimately adjourned.

## CORRESPONDENCE.

## THE YEARLY COUNTY COURT PRACTICE.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—While thanking you and your reviewer for the favourable notice of the "Yearly County Court Practice," which appear in the SOLICITORS' JOURNAL of the 5th instant, will you allow us to point out, as we are glad to be able to do, that the failure of your reviewer to discover in the volumes the two cases that he mentions, which is the only unfavourable criticism which that gentleman felt called upon to make on the work, is due to accidental circumstances, for which he is in no way to blame, but in no way detracting from the value of the book in actual practice.

Both the cases named are in fact cited in the Practice under their proper heads. The case of the *National Telephone Co. and the Tunbridge Wells Corporation* relates to the construction of the Telegraph Acts, and will accordingly be found on p. 362 of Vol. II. in the note on these Acts, but by an oversight the name is not referred to (as it should have been) in the table of cases. The other decision which your reviewer names (*Attorney-General v. Lord Stanley of Alderley*) relates to the Prerogative of the Crown and will accordingly be found in the note to a section of the county court Acts which deals with that subject on p. 159 of Vol. I. But the case is reported in the *Law Reports* under a different name (*Stanley of Alderley (Lord) v. Wild*) to that given in the WEEKLY REPORTER, which is perhaps more familiar to your reviewer. When cases are reported under various names our editors always adopt that given by the *Law Reports*.

BUTTERWORTH &amp; Co.

12, Bell-yard, Temple-bar, Jan. 9.

## USE OF PAPER FOR ENGROSSMENT OF WILLS FOR PROBATE.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—This proposed compulsory innovation by the President of the Probate Division, as contained in the recent order of the Senior Probate Registrar, is very strongly opposed by solicitors generally, both in London and in the country. This is evidenced by their views expressed in their replies to a fellow practitioner's recent circular to them on the subject. These adverse views are a great point, seeing that solicitors are allowed a fixed sum per folio for engrossing probates, and are not permitted to charge for the parchment. The alleged saving to the public of thousands of pounds a year is therefore seen to be a fallacy. Moreover, the solicitors' adverse views on this point are unbiased seeing they themselves would save the difference between the cost of the parchment and that of the paper.

Again, the law societies are against the change, to say nothing of the extremely hostile attitude of the public who have had any experience as executors. It is urged that a probate in many cases has to be transmitted to companies' offices all over the country for registration and endorsement accordingly, and being the sole document of title of the executor to his testator's varied estate, is therefore very much more handled and used than is a title deed, which usually relates to but one solitary property, and yet is never for one moment thought of as to be written on paper.

To make matters worse, even the quality of the proposed paper is not of a durable nature, and were this so, it would not be a sufficient substitute for parchment to stand the inevitable wear and tear pertaining to a probate. It is strange, if paper is sufficiently durable, that the probate seal is still proposed to be attached to the probate by means of a parchment tag similar to the one hitherto used.

Solicitors, as a body who claim they ought to be allowed to decide as to the efficacy and suitability of paper or parchment, before moving further on behalf of their clients to oppose the compulsory use of paper, are awaiting the next meeting of the Incorporated Law Society (London), whereat the whole question has been promised to be fully considered.

The paper letters of administration already being issued will prove the truth of the allegation of their non-durability in a very short time by their worn and disipated condition. When folded so as to form a cover and a protection for the probate seal, which is attached by parchment must cause the paper—which is only imitation hand-made—to wear at the two folds and so gradually tear.

"EXECUTOR."

## COSTS OF GARNISHEE PROCEEDINGS.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—Referring to my letter in your issue of the 5th inst., I should have made my letter apply to proceedings for the examination of a judgment debtor (ord. 42, r. 34), but the same principle should now be applied to garnishee proceedings (ord. 45, r. 9), as the observations

of the judges in *Adlington v. Conyngham* (1898, 2 Q. B. D. 492) apply to both proceedings. EDWARD WALTER HAINES.  
10, Serjeant's-inn, Fleet-street, E.C., Jan. 8.

## NEW ORDERS, &amp;c.

## RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT. 1

GAZETTED, 29TH NOVEMBER, 1900.

SIGNED, 11TH JANUARY, 1901.

## Order V., Rule 9 (a).

1. Order V., Rule 9 (a), shall be read as if the words "to whom for the time being Chambers are attached," were omitted therefrom.

## Order V., Rule 9, A.

2. Where, by order of the Lord Chancellor, Chambers have been attached to two of the Judges of the Chancery Division, each of those Judges shall have full jurisdiction over every cause or matter (including causes and matters proceeding in the District Registries of Liverpool and Manchester) assigned to either of them, according to arrangements made between themselves, and these Rules shall be construed and have effect accordingly, notwithstanding any existing Rule or practice.

## Order LV., Rules 1 and 15.

3. Order LV., Rules 1 and 15, shall be read as if the words "to whom Chambers are attached" were omitted therefrom.

## Order LV., Rule 17 (a).

4. Order LV., Rule 17 (a), shall be read as if the words "one of the Judges" were inserted therein in lieu of the word "Judge."

## Order XII., Rule 21, A.

5. A commission or fee paid to a person becoming surety to a bail bond or otherwise giving security may be recovered on taxation; provided that the amount of such commission or fee shall not in the aggregate exceed one pound per centum on the amount in which bail is given.

## Order XIX., Rule 28.

6. Order XIX., Rule 28, shall be read as if the words "ordered by the Court or a Judge" were omitted therefrom and the words "the pleadings are completed and a consent signed by the respective solicitors that the Preliminary Act shall be opened is filed in the Admiralty Registry" were inserted.

## Order XXXV., Rule 6 (a).

7. Order XXXV., Rule 6 (a), shall be read as if the words "including any proceedings during vacation" had been inserted after the words "throughout all the proceedings therein," and as if the words "or the Vacation Judge as the case may be" had been inserted after the words "to whom the cause or matter is assigned."

## Order XXXVII., Rule 51, A.

8. In the case of an examination, or any adjournment thereof, a deposit of three guineas (or five guineas where the examination is more than three miles from the Royal Courts of Justice) shall be made with the examiner's clerk in respect of fees and expenses of the day, before the examination is proceeded with; and any balance remaining after the discharge of such fees and expenses shall be repaid by the clerk.

## Order XXXVII., Rule 53.

9. An examiner shall not be required to transmit any deposition, to be filed at the Central Office, until all fees and expenses due to him in respect of that deposition have been made.

## Order LVIII., Rule 20.

10. Order LVIII., Rule 20, shall be read as if after the words "Workmen's Compensation Act, 1897" were inserted the words "and Appeals under the Agricultural Holdings Act, 1900."

## Order LXIV., Rule 4.

11. Order LXIV., Rule 4, shall be read as if after the word "December" the words "and in Admiralty actions" were inserted.

12. These Rules shall commence on the 11th of January, 1901, and may be cited as the Rules of the Supreme Court (November), 1900, or each Rule may be cited separately, according to the heading thereof with reference to the Rules of the Supreme Court, 1883.



That shares held subject to the payment of the whole amount thereof in cash have been allotted to the amount of £

That every director of the Company has paid to the Company on each of the shares taken or contracted to be taken by him and for which he is liable to pay in cash, a proportion equal to the proportion payable on application and allotment on the shares offered for public subscription.

And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing the same to be true, and by virtue of the provisions of the Statutory Declarations Act, 1835.

Declared at the day of one thousand nine hundred and before me

A Commissioner for Oaths.

Sec. 6 of the Companies Act, 1900, provides that:—

(1.) A Company shall not commence any business or exercise any borrowing powers unless:

- (a.) Shares held subject to the payment of the whole amount thereof in cash have been allotted to an amount not less in the whole than the minimum subscription; and
- (b.) Every director of the Company has paid to the Company on each of the shares taken or contracted to be taken by him, and for which he is liable to pay in cash, a proportion equal to the proportion payable on application and allotment on the shares offered for public subscription; and
- (c.) There has been filed with the registrar a statutory declaration by the secretary or one of the directors, in the prescribed form, that the aforesaid conditions have been complied with.

(2.) The registrar shall, on the filing of this statutory declaration, certify that the Company is entitled to commence business, and that certificate shall be conclusive evidence that the Company is so entitled.

(3.) Any contract made by a company before the date at which it is entitled to commence business shall be provisional only, and shall not be binding on the Company until that date, and on that date it shall become binding.

(4.) Nothing in this section shall prevent the simultaneous offer for subscription of any shares and debentures or the receipt of any application.

(5.) If any Company commences business or exercises borrowing powers in contravention of this section, every person who is responsible for the contravention shall, without prejudice to any other liability, be liable to a fine not exceeding fifty pounds for every day during which the contravention continues.

(6.) Nothing in this section shall apply to a Company registered before the commencement of this Act.

(7.) This section shall not apply to any Company where there is no invitation to the public to subscribe for its shares.

(a.) Insert here "the Secretary," or "a Director."

No. of Certificate FORM No. 45.

COMPANIES ACTS, 1862 TO 1900.

RETURN OF ALLOTMENTS from the of 19, to the of 19, of the Limited.

Made pursuant to s. 7 (1) of the Companies Act, 1900.

(To be filed with the Registrar within one month after the allotment is made.)

\*Number of the Shares allotted payable in cash ... ..  
 Nominal amount of the Shares so allotted ... ..  
 Amount paid or due and payable on each such Share ... ..  
 Numbers of Shares allotted for a consideration other than cash ... ..  
 Nominal amount of the Shares so allotted ... ..  
 Amount to be treated as paid on each such Share ... ..  
 The consideration for which such Shares have been allotted is as follows:—

Presented for filing by—

Names, Addresses, and Descriptions of the Allottees.

Surname.	Christian Name.	Address.	Description.	Number of Shares allotted.

\* Distinguish between Preference, Ordinary, &c.

No. of Certificate FORM No. 46.

COMPANIES ACTS, 1862 TO 1900.

A 5s. Companies Registration Fee Stamp must be impressed here.

REPORT pursuant to section 12 of the Companies Act, 1900 (63 and 64 Vict. c. 48) of the Limited, to be certified by not less than two directors, or by one director

or manager whenever there is only one, and forwarded at least seven days before the statutory meeting to every member of the company; and to be filed with the Registrar forthwith after the sending thereof to the members of the Company (s. 12 (4)).

NOTE.—This form has been provided for the purpose of indicating the nature of the information that is required; but as the report to be filed must be a copy of that sent to the shareholders, all that is contained in that report must appear in this.

(a.) The total number of shares allotted is of which are allotted in consideration of and upon each of the remaining shares the sum of has been paid in cash.

(b.) The total amount of cash received by the Company in respect of the shares issued wholly for cash is £ and on the shares issued partly for cash is £

(c.) The receipts and payments of the Company on capital account to the date of this report are as follows:—

Particulars of Receipts.

Particulars of Payments.

Presented for filing by—

The following is an account (or estimate) of the preliminary expenses of the Company:—

(d.) Names, addresses, and descriptions of the Directors, Auditors (if any), Manager (if any), and Secretary of the Company.

#### DIRECTORS.

Surname.	Christian Name.	Address.	Description.

#### AUDITORS.


#### MANAGER.


#### SECRETARY.


(e.) Particulars of any contract the modification of which is to be submitted to the Meeting for its approval, together with the particulars of the modification or proposed modification.

We hereby certify this report.

Two Directors.

We hereby certify that so much of this report as relates to the shares allotted by the Company and to the cash received in respect of such shares and to the receipts and payments of the Company on capital account is correct.

Auditors.

(f) Here state as "fully paid up" or "paid up otherwise than in cash to the extent of per share."

COMPANIES ACTS, 1862 TO 1900.

Presented for filling by  
Particulars of a Mortgage or Charge Created by the Limited.

COMPANIES ACTS, 1862 TO 1900.

COMPANIES ACTS, 1862 TO 1900.

COMPANY LIMITED BY SHARES.

Name of proposed Company—

Limited.

Presented for filing by—

COMPANY LIMITED BY SHARES.

Application by the Subscribers to the Memorandum of Association of Company, Limited, being a Company such as is specified in section 2 (3) of the Companies Act, 1900, and which does not issue any invitation to the public to subscribe for its Shares, for a Certificate of Incorporation as a Limited Company under the Companies Acts, 1862 to 1900.

We, the several persons whose names are subscribed, hereby declare that the \_\_\_\_\_ Company, Limited, whose Memorandum of Association is delivered herewith, does not issue any invitation to the public to subscribe for its Shares.

**Names, Addresses, and Descriptions of Subscribers.**

Dated this                  day of                  , 190 .  
Witness to the above signatures—

## LAW SOCIETIES.

## UNITED LAW SOCIETY.

Jan. 7.—Mr. R. C. Nesbitt in the chair.—Mr. G. D. Elliman moved: "That the case of *Townsend v. Jarman* (1900 2 Ch. 698, 69 L. J. R. Ch. 825) was wrongly decided." Mr. T. Outaway opposed. There also spoke Messrs. Percy Aylan, D. Fulton, S. Williams, and C. H. Kirby. The motion was carried.

## LAW ASSOCIATION.

A meeting of the directors was held at the hall of the Incorporated Law Society on Thursday, the 3rd inst. Mr. Frederick Foss in the chair. The other directors present were Mr. H. C. Nisbet, Mr. R. H. Peacock, Mr. Sidney Smith, and Mr. John Vallance. A sum of £25 was distributed in grants of relief, and other general business transacted.

## SOLICITORS' BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION.

The usual monthly meeting of the board of directors of this association was held at the Law Institution, Chancery-lane, on the 9th inst. Mr. T. Musgrave Francis (Cambridge) in the chair; the other directors present being Messrs. H. Morten Cotton, Walter Dowson, J. R. B. Gregory, Sir George H. Lewis, F. Bowley Parker, Richard Pennington, J.P., Sidney Smith, Richard W. Tweedie, Maurice A. Tweedie, and J. T. Scott (secretary). A sum of £325 was distributed in grants of relief, two new members were admitted to the association, and other general business transacted.

## LAW STUDENTS' JOURNAL.

## LAW STUDENTS' SOCIETIES.

LAW STUDENTS' DEBATING SOCIETY.—Jan. 8.—Mr. W. V. Ball, chairman.—The subject for debate was, "That the case of *Re Jolly, Gathercole v. Norfolk* (1900, 2 Ch. 616) was wrongly decided." Mr. Tyldesley Jones opened in the affirmative; Mr. C. H. Gurney seconded. Mr. W. Arnold Jolly opened in the negative; Mr. Wallington seconded. The following members also spoke: Messrs. Harnett, D. S. Cornock Walker, A. E. Clarke, Lock, and Butler. Mr. Jones having replied, the chairman summed up, and the motion was lost by four votes.

## LEGAL NEWS.

## INFORMATION REQUIRED.

The Hon. Mrs. ELLEN NELSON, deceased.—Any person having a Will of this lady (widow of the Hon. Charles Horatio Nelson) is requested to communicate at once with Messrs. Young, Jackson, Beard, & King, 12, Essex-street, Strand, W.C., solicitors.

## CHANGES IN PARTNERSHIP.

## ADMISSIONS.

Mr. H. A. GRAHAM, solicitor, of 11, Queen Victoria-street, London, has taken into partnership Mr. J. WIGLEY, and that the new firm will be known as "H. A. Graham & Wigley" of the same address.

## DISSOLUTIONS.

THOMAS CLARK and THOMAS CECIL SYMES, solicitors (Clarke & Symes), 3, Duncan-street, Islington, London. Dec. 31.

EDWARD HITCHINGS FLUX and THOMAS FRANCIS LEADBITTER, solicitors (E. Flux & Leadbitter), 144, Leadenhall-street, London. Dec. 31. In future the business will be carried on by the said Thomas Francis Leadbitter in partnership with Thomas Frank Neighbour, under the style or firm of E. Flux, Leadbitter, & Neighbour.

WILLIAM HARWOOD, HENRY GOODWYN STEPHENSON, and HORACE GILDON HARWOOD, solicitors (Harwood & Stephenson), 31, Lombard-street, London. Dec. 31.

AUGUSTUS HAWKS and CHARLES EDWARD PHILLIPS, solicitors (Spence, Hawks, & Phillips) Hertford. Nov. 15. The business has since been carried on, and will continue to be carried on by the said Charles Edward Phillips, under the style or firm of Spence & Co.

JOSEPH SBYMOUR SALAMAN and LIONEL EDMUND TOWNROE, solicitors (Salaman, Townroe, & Co.), 65 and 66, Chancery-lane, London. Dec. 31.

ROBERT TAYLOR RICHARDSON and ALFRED TOWRY PIPER, solicitors Barnard Castle. The said Alfred Towry Piper will continue to carry on the said business on his own account under the style or firm of "Richardson & Piper." Dec. 31.

RICHARD SMITH, RICHARD FORD SMITH, and CHARLES LAWSON SMITH, solicitors (Richard Smith & Sons), 26, Lincoln's-inn-fields, London. The said Richard Ford Smith and Charles Lawson Smith will henceforth carry on the said business at the above address under the style or firm of Richard F. & C. L. Smith. Dec. 31.

SIDNEY SMITH and JOHN ERNEST STEPHENSON, solicitors (Sidney Smith & Son), 2, Verulam-buildings, Gray's-inn, London. Dec. 31.

[Gazette, Jan. 4.]

WILLIAM BOYLE and GEORGE WILSON PICTON, solicitors (Boyle & Picton), Liverpool. Dec. 31.

JOHN JAMES WATERWORTH, HOLMES WRIGHT, and JOHN WATERWORTH, solicitors (Wright & Waterworth), Keighley. Jan. 1.

[Gazette, Jan. 8.]

## GENERAL.

In 1900 there are stated to have been 101 new law books published and 46 new editions of law books, as against 97 and 63 in the previous year.

Mr. Justice Bruce was entertained at dinner last week in Newcastle by the old boys of the school conducted by his father, the late Dr. J. C. Bruce. There was a good attendance, and the health of the guest was heartily honoured. Professor Thomas Annandale presided.

A correspondent of the *Times* says that "On the 27th of December last I purchased at the Companies' Registration Office a form of return of shareholders to be made by the 14th day succeeding the date of the first ordinary general meeting—in 1900. No observation was made by the official on selling the form. On the 3rd inst. the return, having been made up and sent to France for signature by the secretary, was presented to the Companies' Registration Office for filing, and was refused on the ground that since the 1st inst. a new form has been issued, and therefore the form sold by the office a week previously could not be accepted. As I understand that numerous other returns were being refused for a similar reason, perhaps you would kindly notify the fact to the public to save trouble and inconvenience which might have been so easily avoided."

Before the new century is many days older, says the *Daily Graphic*. Mlle. Chauvin, the French lady barrister, will have complied with all the necessary formalities, and will be permitted to plead in the Paris courts. The learned lady has already been retained in one case, and it is said that numerous litigants are anxious to be represented by her in the hope that her sex will render her legal arguments peculiarly acceptable to that susceptible being the French jurymen. Mlle. Chauvin has, therefore, every prospect of a good start in her profession, and it will be her own fault if she does not keep it. She should, however, make hay while the sun shines, for if she attains any considerable measure of success it may be safely assumed that she will not enjoy her monopoly for long.

A case of interest to the legal profession has, says the *Times*, been raised in the Court of Session, Edinburgh. Margaret Howard Strang Hall, belonging to Kilm, in the West of Scotland, applied for admission to the law agents' examination. The court ordered the Incorporated Society of Law Agents to lodge answers to her petition if they had any; and the society in their answers stated that no lady had ever heretofore been admitted as legal practitioner in the country, and it was a question whether women had a legal right to admission to practice as law agents—a privilege which had been hitherto confined exclusively to men. The statutes of their society did not appear to contemplate women becoming members of the profession, but the society did not conceive it to be their interest or their duty to maintain that women ought not to be enrolled as law agents.

Many of the Irish county councils, says the *New Irish Jurist*, are taking up the idea of appointing their solicitor at a fixed salary for his professional services, rather than upon the old plan of paying the solicitor his taxed costs for the work done. The Kerry County Council, at its last meeting, adopted this course. There is something to be said of this new method. We are inclined to think, on the whole, it will be more economical, and it will be a great saving of time and labour to the solicitors, who will only need to keep an account in future of actual outlay. The curious thing, however, about it is that the Local Government code does not seem to give the councils such power, and it is doubtful, if objection were taken, what the courts would do in the matter. Heretofore boards of guardians had no power to appoint solicitors, yet they appointed them all the same, and in some rare instances, we believe, were allowed by the Local Government Board to pay them fixed salaries. In like manner grand juries had no power to appoint solicitors to act for them, but they did so, and the appointment was usually accepted thereafter as being regular in all respects.

According to the *Daily Telegraph*, the following is the result of appeals from the decisions of judges of the High Court last year. Bruce, J., heads the list with a clean slate. From him there were five appeals, and all of them stood the test of review. Bigham, J., comes next, with 5 per cent. of reversals, 1 appeal being allowed out of 18. Keeney, J., is third, with 14 per cent.; 13 appeals, 2 reversals. Mathew, J., is fourth, with 21 per cent.; 10 appeals, 4 reversals. Barnes, J., is fifth, with 25 per cent.; 12 appeals, 3 reversals. Lawrence, J., is sixth, with 27 per cent.; 11 appeals, 3 reversals. Byrne, J., is seventh, with 31 per cent.; 23 appeals, 7 reversals. Wright and Phillimore, JJ., tie for the eighth place, with 33 per cent.; the former was reversed 10 times in 30 appeals, the latter 3 times in 9. Farwell, J., is ninth, with 38 per cent.; 5 appeals allowed and 8 dismissed. Grantham, J., is tenth, with 44 per cent.; 4 appeals allowed and 5 dismissed. Stirling, J., is eleventh, with 45 per cent.; 5 appeals allowed and 6 dismissed. Cosens-Hardy and Day, JJ., are bracketed with 46 per cent.; the equity and the Queen's Bench judge both having 13 appeals allowed out of 28. The late Lord Chief Justice and Bucknill, J., are thirteenth, with 50 per cent.; the figures being 1 out of 2 and 8 out of 16 respectively. Buckley, Ridley, and Darling, JJ., show 55 per cent., the first and the third each sustaining 5 reversals in 9 appeals, and the second 10 to 18. Kekewich, J., is fifteenth, with 56 per cent.; his score shows the total of 50 appeals, 28 of which were allowed. North, J., is sixteenth, with 57 per

cent.; 8 appeals allowed and 6 dismissed. Sir Francis Jeune is seventeenth with 60 per cent.; 3 appeals allowed and 2 dismissed. Wills, J., is eighteenth, with 66 per cent.; 2 appeals allowed and 1 dismissed. Romer, J. (now L.J.), is nineteenth, with 75 per cent.; 3 appeals allowed out of 4; and Channell, J., is last, with 80 per cent., having had 8 reversals in 10 appeals.

His Honour Judge Parry writes as follows to the *Times* with reference to the new County Court Rules under the Money-lenders Act, 1900. With every respect to the framers and approvers of these rules, I cannot but think they will hinder and clog the free use of the Act. The first rule is this: Order LI. 24b (1) An application under sub-section 1 of section 1 of the Money-lenders Act, 1900, by a person sued by a money-lender shall be made by counterclaim. This is to say, that where a money-lender sues a person on a note or bill for money lent unless the defendant personally counterclaims he is not entitled to apply for the relief of the Act. Poor borrowers will never counterclaim unless they are able to employ solicitors to shew them how to do it. True, a judge may adjourn a case to allow such a person to file a counterclaim, but at whose expense? And if, as often happens, the defendant comes from a distance, what is to be done about his wasted day and railway fare? The counterclaim is to contain 24b (3) particulars, a concise statement of the nature of the application, and the relief or order which the applicant claims. Fancy a Lancashire collier setting out in writing the draft order he desires to have made by the court. The whole procedure seems the more unnecessary because in the Money-lenders Act, 1900, 1 (1) no application by the defendant is contemplated. The word application is not used. What is said is shortly this—that where proceedings are taken in any court by a money-lender, and there is evidence which satisfies the court that the transaction is harsh and unconscionable, the court may reopen the transaction and do what is just and right between the parties. If I read the new rules rightly, I must not wait for an application by counterclaim—a procedure of which the Act says nothing and which does not seem to me to be of any service to plaintiff, defendant, or the court. There are further rules directing that where a borrower or surety wishes to move for relief from harsh and unconscionable bargains he must start by means of a plaint and trace the procedure for the purposes of costs, and otherwise is to follow the rules laid down for actions commenced in the equity jurisdiction. Here I cannot but see the hand of the Treasury searching for a fee. To quote the rubric of a late judge, "In county courts and places where they are here followeth the Treasury."

## COURT PAPERS.

### SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE.

#### ROTA OF REGISTRARS IN ATTENDANCE OF

Date.	EMERGENCY ROTA.	APPEAL COURT No. 2.	Mr. Justice KEKEWICH.	Mr. Justice BYRNE.
Monday, Jan. ....	14 Mr. Lavis	Mr. Carrington	Mr. Godfrey	Mr. Pugh
Tuesday .....	15 Carrington	Lavis	Leach	Beal
Wednesday .....	16 Pemberton	Carrington	Godfrey	Pugh
Thursday .....	17 Jackson	Lavis	Leach	Beal
Friday .....	18 Beal	Carrington	Godfrey	Pugh
Saturday .....	19 Pugh	Lavis	Leach	Beal

  

Date.	Mr. Justice COZENS-HARDY.	Mr. Justice FARWELL.	Mr. Justice BUCKLEY.	Mr. Justice JOYCE.
Monday, Dec. ....	14 Mr. Gresswell	Mr. Jackson	Mr. Farmer	Mr. Leach
Tuesday .....	15 Church	Pemberton	King	Godfrey
Wednesday .....	16 Gresswell	Jackson	Farmer	King
Thursday .....	17 Church	Pemberton	Farmer	King
Friday .....	18 Gresswell	Jackson	Farmer	King
Saturday .....	19 Church	Pemberton	King	Gresswell

### HILARY SITTINGS, 1901.

#### COURT OF APPEAL.

##### APPEAL COURT I.

Final and interlocutory appeals from the Queen's Bench Division.

Fr., Jan. .... 11 App motns ex pte—orgl motns, and apps from ords made on interlocutory motns

N.B.—The Appeals or other Business proposed to be taken in Appeal Court I. will, from time to time, be announced in the Daily Cause List.

##### APPEAL COURT II.

The General List and Appeal Motions from the Chancery, and Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Divisions, and the County Palatine and Stannaries Courts, and Appeals in Bankruptcy and Lunacy.

Fr., Jan. .... 11 App motns ex pte—orgl motns—apps from ords made on appeal motns (sep list), and Chan gen list if required

Saturday .. 12 Chan gen list

Monday .. 13 App motns ex pte—orgl motns—apps from ords made on appeal motns (sep list), and Chan gen list if required

Wednesday 15

Thursday .. 17 County Palatine apps (if any) and Chan gen list

Friday .. 18 Bkey and Chan gen list

Saturday .. 19 Chan gen list

Monday .. 21 App motns ex pte—orgl motns—apps from ords made on appeal motns (sep list), and Chan gen list if required

Wednesday 23

Thursday .. 24 Chan gen list

Friday .. 25 Bkey and Chan gen list

Saturday .. 26 Chan gen list

Monday .. 28 App motns ex pte—orgl motns—apps from ords made on appeal motns (sep list), and Chan gen list if required

Wednesday 30

Thursday .. 31 Chan gen list

Fr., Feb. .... 1 Bkey and Chan gen list

Saturday .. 2 Chan gen list

Monday .. 4 App motns ex pte—orgl motns—apps from ords made on appeal motns (sep list), and Chan gen list if required

Wednesday 6

Thursday .. 7 Chan gen list

Friday .. 8 Bkey and Chan gen list

Saturday .. 9 Chan gen list

Monday .. 11 App motns ex pte—orgl motns—apps from ords made on appeal motns (sep list), and Chan gen list if required

Wednesday 13

Thursday .. 14 Chan gen list

Friday .. 15 Bkey and Chan gen list

Saturday .. 16 Chan gen list

Monday .. 18 App motns ex pte—orgl motns—apps from ords made on appeal motns (sep list), and Chan gen list if required

Wednesday 20

Thursday .. 21 County Palatine apps and Chan gen list

Friday .. 22 Bkey and Chan gen list

Saturday .. 23 Chan gen list

Monday .. 25 App motns ex pte—orgl motns—apps from ords made on appeal motns (sep list), and Chan gen list if required

Wednesday 27

Thursday .. 28 Chan gen list

Fr., March 1 Bkey and Chan gen list

Saturday .. 2 Chan gen list

Monday .. 4 App motns ex pte—orgl motns—apps from ords made on appeal motns (sep list), and Chan gen list if required

Wednesday 6

Thursday .. 7 Chan gen list

Friday .. 8 Bkey and Chan gen list

Saturday .. 9 Chan gen list

Monday .. 11 App motns ex pte—orgl motns—apps from ords made on appeal motns (sep list), and Chan gen list if required

Wednesday 13

Thursday .. 14 Chan gen list

Friday .. 15 Bkey and Chan gen list

Saturday .. 16 Chan gen list

Monday .. 18 App motns ex pte—orgl motns—apps from ords made on appeal motns (sep list), and Chan gen list if required

Wednesday 20

Thursday .. 21 County Palatine apps (if any) and Chan gen list

Friday .. 22 Bkey and Chan gen list

Saturday .. 23 Chan gen list

Monday .. 25 App motns ex pte—orgl motns—apps from ords made on appeal motns (sep list), and Chan General List if required

Wednesday 27

Thursday .. 28 Chan gen list

Friday .. 29 Bkey and Chan gen list

Saturday .. 30 Chancery gen list

Mon., April 1

Tuesday .. 2 App motns ex pte—orgl motns—apps from ords made on appeal motns (sep list), and Chan gen list if required

Wednesday 3

N.B.—Lunacy Matters (if any) are taken in Appeal Court II. on every Monday at Eleven until further notice. Admiralty Appeals will be taken in Appeal Court I. on days to be appointed by the Court.

### HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

#### CHANCERY DIVISION.

##### CHANCERY COURT I.

Mr. Justice KEKEWICH.

Except when other Business is advertised in the Daily Cause List, Mr. Justice Kekewich will take Actions with Witnesses daily throughout the Sittings to the exclusion of other Business.

##### CHANCERY COURT II.

Mr. Justice BYRNE.

On Friday and Saturday, Jan. 11 and 12, his Lordship will hear any Motions remaining over from last Sittings, and such of the Non-Witness Business as may have been retained by his Lordship out of the Non-Witness List to be heard by Mr. Justice Buckley.

On Monday, Jan. 14, his Lordship will take Witness Actions, and continue hearing the same until the end of the Sittings, or further orders.

#### CHANCERY COURT III.

Mr. Justice COZENS-HARDY.

Except when other Business is advertised in the Daily Cause List, Mr. Justice Cozens-Hardy will take Actions with Witnesses daily throughout the Sittings to the exclusion of other Business.

NB—Witness Actions will be commenced on Tuesday, Jan. 15.

#### LORD CHANCELLOR'S COURT.

Mr. Justice FARWELL.

Fr., Jan. .... 11 Motns and gen pa

Saturday .. 12 Sht caus, pets, & gen pa

Monday .. 14 Sitting in chambers

Tuesday .. 15 General paper

Wednesday 16

Thursday .. 17 Motns and gen pa

Friday .. 18 Manchester and Liverpool business

Saturday .. 19 Sitting in chambers

Monday .. 21 Sht caus, pets, & gen pa

Tuesday .. 22 General paper

Wednesday 23

Thursday .. 24 Motns and gen pa

Friday .. 25 Sht caus, pets, & gen pa

Saturday .. 26 Sitting in chambers

Monday .. 28 General paper

Tuesday .. 29

Wednesday 30

Thursday .. 31 Motns and gen pa

Friday .. 1 Motns and gen pa

Saturday .. 2 Liverpool and Manchester business

Monday .. 4 Sitting in chambers

Tuesday .. 5 Sht caus, pets, & gen pa

Wednesday 6 General paper

Thursday .. 7 Motns and gen pa

Friday .. 8 Sht caus, pets, & gen pa

Saturday .. 9 Sitting in chambers

Monday .. 11 General paper

Tuesday .. 12

Wednesday 13

Thursday .. 14 Motns and gen pa

Friday .. 15 Manchester and Liverpool business

Saturday .. 16 Sitting in chambers

Monday .. 18 Sht caus, pets, and gen pa

Tuesday .. 19 General paper

Wednesday 20

Thursday .. 21 Motns and gen pa

Friday .. 22 Sht caus, pets, and gen pa

Saturday .. 23 Sitting in chambers

Monday .. 25 General paper

Tuesday .. 26

Wednesday 27

N.B.—None of the Witness Actions retained will be taken before Feb. 1.

Any cause intended to be heard as a short cause must be so marked in the cause book at least one clear day before the same can be put in the paper to be so heard. Two copies of minutes of the proposed judgment or order must be left in court with the judge's clerk one clear day before the cause is to be put in the paper.

N.B.—The following Papers on Further Consideration are required for the use of the Judge, viz.—Two Copies of Minutes of the proposed Judgment or Order, 1 Copy Pleadings, and 1 Copy Chief Clerk's Certificate, which must be left in Court with the Judge's Clerk one clear day before the Further Consideration is ready to come into the paper.

MR. JUSTICE BUCKLEY.

Any cause intended to be heard as a short cause must be so marked in the cause book at least one clear day before the same can be put in the paper to be so heard, and the necessary papers, including minutes of the proposed judgment or order, must be left with the judge's clerk one clear day before the cause is to be put into the paper.

MR. JUSTICE JOYCE.

Saturday	12	Sht caus, pets, procedure sums, and non-wits list
Monday	14	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	16	Wits acts and non-wits list
Wednesday	16	
Thursday	17	
Friday	18	Mots and non-wits list
Saturday	19	Sht caus, pets, procedure sums, and non-wits list
Monday	21	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	22	
Wednesday	23	Non-wits list
Thursday	24	
Friday	25	Mots and non-wits list
Saturday	26	Sht caus, pets, procedure sums, and non-wits list
Monday	28	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	29	
Wednesday	30	Non-wits list
Thurs.	31	
Friday, Feb.	1	Mots and non-wits list
Saturday	2	Sht caus, pets, procedure sums, and non-wits list
Monday	4	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	5	
Wednesday	6	Non-wits list
Thursday	7	
Friday	8	Mots and non-wits list
Saturday	9	Sht caus, pets, procedure sums, and non-wits list
Monday	11	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	12	
Wednesday	13	Non-wits list
Thursday	14	
Friday	15	Mots and non-wits list
Saturday	16	Sht caus, pets, procedure sums, and non-wits list
Monday	18	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	19	
Wednesday	20	Non-wits list
Thursday	21	
Friday	22	Mots and non-wits list
Saturday	23	Sht caus, pets, procedure sums, and non-wits list
Monday	25	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	26	
Wednesday	27	Non-wits list
Thursday	28	
Fri., March	1	Mots and non-wits list
Saturday	2	Sht caus, pets, procedure sums, and non-wits list
Monday	4	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	5	
Wednesday	6	Non-wits list
Thursday	7	
Friday	8	Mots and non-wits list
Saturday	9	Sht caus, pets, procedure sums, and non-wits list
Monday	11	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	12	
Wednesday	13	Not-wit list
Thursday	14	
Friday	15	Mots and non-wits list
Saturday	16	Sht caus, pets, procedure sums, and non-wits list
Monday	18	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	19	
Wednesday	20	Non-wits list
Thursday	21	
Friday	22	Mots and non-wits list
Saturday	23	Sht caus, pets, procedure sums, and non-wits list
Monday	25	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	26	
Wednesday	27	Non-wits list
Thursday	28	
Friday	29	Mots and non-wits list
Saturday	30	Sht caus, pets, procedure sums, and non-wits list
Mon., April	1	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	2	Mots and non-wits list
Wednesday	3	Non-wits list

**N.B.**—The following Papers on Further Consideration are required for the use of the Judge, viz :—Two Copies of minutes of the proposed Judgment or Order, 1 Copy Pleadings, and 1 Copy Chief Clerk's Certificate, which must be left in Court with the Judge's Clerk one clear day before the Further Consideration is ready to come into the Paper.

Appeals from the Lancaster and Durham Palatine Courts will be taken in Court II. on Thursdays, January 17, February 21, and March 21 (if reached).

Costa Rica Ry Cold v Forwood appl of ultiffs from order of Mr. Justice

**N.B.—Appeal Motions from the Chancery and Probate and Divorce**

- S O Willis v Cooper appl of debts R B Cooper and ors from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated May 16, 1900 June 9
- H Willis v Cooper appl of debts R B Cooper and ors from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated May 16, 1900 June 9
- Slattery v Cooper appl of debts R B Cooper and ors from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated May 16, 1900 June 9
- The Manchester Ship Canal v The Manchester Race Course Co ld and ors appl of debts The Trafford Park Estates ld from order of Mr Justice Farwell, dated May 30, 1900 June 13 Same v Same appl of The Manchester Race Course Co ld from order of Mr Justice Farwell, dated May 30, 1900 June 13
- Tubes ld v Perfecta Seamless Steel Tube Co ld appl of debts from order of Mr Justice Buckley dated May 29, 1900 June 14
- The Cuba Submarine Telegraph Co ld v The West Indian & Panama Telegraph Co ld appl of debts from order of Mr Justice Farwell, dated May 29, 1900 June 18
- Whitstable Oyster Fishery Co v Hayling Fisheries ld appl of pliffs from order of Mr Justice Buckley, dated May 9, 1900 June 18
- In re Perry Volkman v Bartlett appl of debt H B Bartlett from order of Mr Justice Stirling, dated April 10, 1900 June 19
- In re Hodgson Taylor v Dean appl of debts G H Hodgson from order of Mr Justice Byrne, dated March 31, 1900 June 19
- Merchants' Fire Office v Armstrong appl of debts W H G Newell and ors from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated Jan 1, 1900 June 8
- Merchants' Fire Office v Armstrong appl of debt D Davidson from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated Jan 23, 1900 June 20
- The Merchants' Fire Office ld v Armstrong appl of J Robertson from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated Jan 23, 1900 Aug 10
- Foakes v Jackson appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Farwell, dated March 6, 1900 (security ordered) June 22
- Attorney-Gen v Simpson appl of debt L T Simpson from order of Mr Justice Farwell, dated Nov 21, 1899 June 26
- The Thornton Pickard Manufacturing Co ld appl of pliffs from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated April 3, 1900 June 22
- Hand v Blow appl of C Hodgkinson from order of Mr Justice Stirling, dated June 14, 1900 June 28
- Rosenbaum v Belson appl of debt from order of Mr Justice Buckley, dated May 5, 1900 (security to be given by Dec 28 or dismissed by order) June 29
- Marshall's ld v Chameleon Patents Manufacturing Co ld appl of pliffs from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated June 12, 1900 July 2
- Case v Cressy appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Buckley, dated March 2, 1900 July 4
- Burnyeat v Whitehaven Joint Stock Banking Co ld appl of debts from order of Mr Justice Farwell, dated June 14, 1900 July 4
- The Isle of Thanet Electric Tramway & Lighting Co ld v Abbot appl of pliffs from order of Mr Justice Byrne, dated June 21, 1900 July 7
- In re Hunt Pollard v Greake appl of debt J W Leppard from order of Mr Justice Stirling, dated May 29, 1900 July 7
- Chamberlain & Hookham ld v The Mayor & Co of Bradford appl of pliffs from order of Mr Justice Farwell, dated May 25, 1900 July 9
- Hexter v Pearce appl of debts P W Pearce and M E Pearce from order of Mr Justice Farwell, dated Dec 14, 1900 July 11
- In re A W Dunn Brinklow v Singleton appl of petar Barr from order of Mr Justice Byrne, dated June 10, 1900 July 14
- In re Hayes Turnbull v Hayes appl of debt C E Hayes from order of Mr Justice Byrne, dated June 19, 1900 July 18
- In re Woolnough Fuller v Woolnough appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated June 23, 1900 July 20
- In re Marzetti Robson v Russell appl of W H Russell from order of Mr Justice Byrne, dated June 29, 1900 July 25
- Earl of Lonsdale v Countess of Bercholdt appl of Sir J M Scott from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated July 18, 1900 July 25
- In re Winstone Winterbotham v Winstone appl of debt G A Winstone from order of Mr Justice Stirling, dated July 16, 1900 July 26
- In re Frith Newton v Rolfe appl of debt from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated March 1, 1900 (produce order) March 17 In re Frith Newton v Rolfe appl of debts W E Rolfe & anr from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, on further consideration, dated May 24, 1900 (produce order—to come on together) July 30
- Goodwin v The Ivory Soap Co appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated July 25, 1900 (produce order) July 30
- In re The Co's Acts, 1862 to 1898, and In re The West Australian Trusts ld (expte John Brock) appl of West Australian Trust ld from order of Mr Justice Buckley, dated July 23, 1900 (produce order) July 31
- In re The Co's Acts, 1862 to 1890, and In re Olympia ld, registered 1893 appl of G S Barnes, Official Receiver, &c, from order of Mr Justice Wright, dated July 12, 1900 Aug 1
- Bowden v Watts appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Buckley, dated June 28, 1900 (produce order) Aug 1
- W Marshall & Co ld v A H Bull ld appl of debts Petty & Sons ld from order of Mr Justice Byrne, dated July 7, 1900 (produce order) Aug 2
- In re Hall Mellor v Hall (Manchester D R) appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Farwell, dated July 21, 1900 Aug 8
- Assets Development Co ld v Close Bros & Co appl of pliffs from order of Mr Justice Buckley, dated July 27, 1900 Aug 8
- Hildesheimer v W & F Faulkner ld appl of debt Ward F Faulkner ld from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated July 7, 1900 Aug 8
- Wilson v Durham appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated July 17, 1900 Aug 8
- In re Marquis of Aylesbury Wilmot v Gardiner appl of Messrs Skinner & Co from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated July 17, 1900 Aug 9
- Curtis v Baines appl of J G Baines and S Baines from order of Official Referee dated May 21, 1900 (produce order) Aug 9
- Warren v Brown appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Wright, dated Aug 8, 1900 (produce order) Aug 10
- In re Malcolm Hay Hay v School, &c of Antiquity appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated July 26, 1900 Aug 10
- In re Application, No 200,462 by Wright, Crossley & Co for Register of Trade-Mark, and the Opposition thereto, No 2,657, by the Royal Baking Powder Co of New York, and Patents, &c, Acts appl of Wright, Crossley, & Co from order of Mr Justice Byrne, dated May 24, 1900 (produce order) Aug 10
- London & County Banking Co ld v Nixon appl of pliffs from order of Mr Justice Farwell, dated July 27, 1900 (produce order) Aug 10
- In re Hey Perkins v Hey appl of debt E J Stocks from order of Mr Justice Byrne, dated July 19, 1900 Aug 10
- Inderwick v Tatchell Tatchell v Lindauer Inderwick v Inderwick appl of pliff in first action from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated July 27, 1900 Aug 14
- In re Knapp Tarver v Tarver appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Buckley, dated June 13, 1900 Aug 14
- In re Bowyer Woolmer v Jones appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated Aug 8, 1900 Aug 15
- Pelham Clinton v Duke of Newcastle appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Buckley, dated Aug 3, 1900 Aug 15
- In re the Barrow Hematite Steel Co ld & reduced and In re the Companies Acts, 1867 & 1877 appl of Barrow Hematite, &c Co from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated Aug 11, 1900 Aug 15
- Quartermaine v Kent, Sussex & General Land Soc appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated Aug 11, 1900 (produce order) Aug 16
- Holly v Rumsey Green v Rumsey appl of pliff J C Holly & debt E S Holly from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated July 5, 1900 (security ordered) Aug 16
- In re Crick & Ellison & V P Act, 1874 appl of F Crick & anr from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated July 13, 1900 Aug 17
- In re Hasler Bathurst v Hasler appl of debt W W Hasler from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated Aug 3, 1900 Aug 17
- In re Lapraik Thompson v Lapraik appl of debt B A Pearce from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated Aug 2, 1900 Aug 24
- In re James Scott Langton v Scott appl of debts Amelia Langton and ors from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated July 13, 1900 Aug 29
- Neale v Neale appl of pliff H N Neale, spinster, from order of Mr Justice Byrne, dated Aug 11, 1900 (produce order) Sept 6
- Shaw v Johnson, Cole, Brier & Cordrey ld appl of debt Co from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated July 24, 1900 Sept 7
- In re Clark Clark v Clark appl of debt from order of Mr Justice Farwell, dated June 20, 1900 (produce order) Sept 12
- In re Moss Jenkins v Moss appl of debt G M Jenkins from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated July 20, 1900 (produce order) Sept 26
- The Saccharin Corporation ld v T & H Smith & Co appl of debts from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated May 15, 1900 (produce order) Oct 1
- Same v Quincey appl of debt from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated May 15, 1900 Oct 1
- Same v Galloway & Sons appl of debts from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated May 15, 1900 Oct 1
- Same v Pratt appl of debt from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated May 15, 1900 Oct 1
- Lyell v Broderick appl of debt from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated July 6, 1900 Oct 10
- Reading Tramways Co ld v Mayor, &c., of the Borough of Reading appl of pliffs from order of Mr Justice Buckley, dated July 26, 1900 Oct 11
- In re Willis Willis v Willis appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated Aug 2, 1900 Oct 15
- Pepin v Bruyère appl of debt Charles Larnaud from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated July 7, 1900 Oct 22
- Blackburne v Hope Edwards appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Buckley, dated July 24, 1900 (produce order) Oct 24
- Collicott v South Staffordshire Mines Drainage Commrs appl of debt from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated Aug 5, 1896 (restored by order, Aug 1, 1900)
- Foakes v Miller appl of pliffs from order of Mr Justice Farwell, dated June 25, 1900 Oct 25
- In re Bingham Bridges v Webb appl of debts B. L. Wright & ors from order of Mr Justice Stirling, dated Aug 1, 1900 Oct 26
- In re Gore Booth, dec Gore Booth v Gore Booth appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated July 27, 1900 (produce order) Nov 1
- Clayfield v May appl of pliff M J Clayfield from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated July 7, 1900 (produce order) Nov 2
- Thurstan v The Nottingham Permanent Benefit Building Society appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Joyce, dated Nov 1, 1900 Nov 6
- In re The Companies' Acts, 1862 to 1890, and In re Spuler & Co ld, in liquidation appl of F W Harris from order of Mr Justice Wright, dated Oct 31, 1900 Nov 9
- Jenkins v Innes appl of debt from order of Mr Justice Stirling, dated July 31, 1900 Nov 12
- Smith v Baxter appl of debt from order of Mr Justice Stirling, dated July 25, 1900 Nov 12
- Smith v Ker appl of debt G Booth from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated June 19, 1900 Nov 16
- In re the Co's Acts, 1862 to 1890, and In re Lilly & Lilly ld appl of Arthur Mead from order of Mr Justice Wright, dated Nov 3, 1900 Nov 17

In re Moses Beddington v Beddington appl of debts H M Beddington and anr from order of Mr Justice Byrne, dated Aug 8, 1900 Nov 20  
 In re the Co's Acts, 1862 to 1890, and In re the Sunlight Incandescent Gas Lamp Co ld appl of L Moorris from order of Mr Justice Wright, dated Aug 1, 1900 Aug 13  
 In re C E Tompkins and the Lands Clauses Consolidations Acts, 1845 to 1860 and 1869 appl of C M Courdon from order of Mr Justice Byrne, dated Nov 21, 1900 (produce order) Nov 23  
 Actien Gesellschaft fur Cartonnagen Industrie v Temler appl of debt J Temler from order of Mr Justice Stirling, dated Nov 10, 1900 (produce order) Nov 28  
 Lawday v Howard appl of debt from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated Nov 16, 1900 (produce order) Nov 29  
 Lisle v Reeve appl of debt from order of Mr Justice Buckley, dated Nov 29, 1900 (produce order) Dec 1  
 In re Trustee Act, 1893, and the Trustee Relief Act and In re Henry Lawrence, dec appl of Charles Bowden Lawrence from order of Mr Justice Byrne, dated Nov 23, 1900 (produce order) Dec 6  
 The Trustee of the Property of J. E. Borland (bankrupt) v Steel Bros & Co, ld appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Farwell, dated Nov 14, 1900 Dec 7  
 In re The Companies Acts, 1862 to 1898, and In re The Stray Shot and Excelsior Gold Mines, ld appl of W. J. Beadley from order of Mr Justice Wright, dated Nov 29, 1900 (produce order) Dec 10  
 In re Ball Ball v Ball appl of T. Evans & A N Evans from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated Nov 27, 1900 (produce order) Dec 10  
 In re The Companies Acts, 1862 to 1893 and In re The Ilfracombe Permanent Mutual Benefit Building Soc appl of J Channing from order of Mr Justice Wright, dated Nov 14, 1900 Dec 10  
 In re The Companies Acts, 1862 to 1890 and In re Pitts, Son & King ld appl of W. G. Jefferys and anr from order of Mr Justice Wright, dated Nov 28, 1900 Dec 12  
 Neaverson v Rural District Council of Peterborough appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated Nov 10, 1900 Dec 14  
 Gladstone v Potts appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated Dec 10, 1900 (produce order) Dec 15  
 In re Faber & Ingram and Vendor and Purchasers Act, 1874 appl of S Ingram from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated Dec 13, 1900 (produce order) Dec 15  
 In re H Schmarr, &c, and Land Clauses Consolidation Acts & London County Council (Impt) Act, 1897 appl of London County Council from order of Mr Byrne, dated Dec 11, 1900 (produce order) Dec 21  
 In re Registered Trade Mark, No 59,992 and Patent Design & Trade Marks Acts, 1883 to 1888 appl of O & G Rushton, ld, from order of Mr Justice Kekewich, dated Dec 7, 1900 (produce order) Dec 21

## FROM THE CHANCERY DIVISION.

Ashton Vale Iron Co ld v The Mayor, &c, of Bristol cross appl of pliffs from order of Mr Justice Byrne, dated Aug 11, 1900 (pt heard Dec 20)  
 In re The Companies Acts, 1862 to 1893, and In re H McKeone appl of B A Jesty from order of Mr Justice Wright, dated Nov 7, 1900 Nov 14  
 In re A Kerly & ors, Solrs, &c appl of A Kerly and ors from order of Mr Justice Farwell, dated Dec 7, 1900 (produce order) Dec 12  
 Truscott v Buckler appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Cozens-Hardy, dated Dec 14, 1900 Dec 18  
 Drucker v Gibson v Gibson v Drucker appl of debts W & S L Dinkelspiel from order of Mr Justice Buckley, dated Dec 8, 1900 (produce order) Dec 19  
 Williams v Ingram appl of pliff from order of Mr Justice Byrne, dated Dec 12, 1900 (produce order) Dec 21  
 Barry v Barry (Divorce) appl of petnr from the order of The President, dated Dec 12, 1900 Dec 22

## FROM THE PROBATE AND DIVORCE DIVISION.

(Final List.)

Rickaby (petnr) v Rickaby (respt), Mackenzie & Swift (co-respts) appl of petnr from order of Mr Justice Barnes, dated June 21, 1900 July 2  
 Greaves v Greaves (Upcher intervening) appl of petnr from order of Mr Justice Barnes, dated June 15, 1900 July 7  
 Synge v Synge appl of petnr from order of The President, dated May 21, 1900 Aug 2

## FROM THE COUNTY PALATINE COURT OF LANCASTER.

(General List.)

1900.

Owen v Legg appl of debt from order of Vice-Chancellor of County Palatine of Lancaster, dated Nov 15, 1900 Dec 8

(Interlocutory List.)

Kerran v Pollard appl of pliff from order of Vice-Chancellor of County Palatine of Lancaster, dated Oct 29, 1900 Nov 28

## FROM THE QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION.

(In Bankruptcy.)

In re Smith (expte The Debtor) A Bankruptcy Notice against an order made by Mr Registrar Linklater and dated Nov 15, 1900, dismissing application so set aside the Bankruptcy Notice  
 In re Tomkins & Co (expte The Debtors) against an order made by Mr Registrar Linklater, dated Nov 22, 1900, allowing petitioner to amend petition

## FROM THE QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION.

Judgment Reserved.

(Final List.)

The Great Northern Ry Co v The Commrs of Inland Revenue (Revenue side) appl of debts from judgment of Justices Darling & Phillimore, dated July 27, 1899 (o a v Dec 19, 1900) (heard before The Master of the Rolls, and Lord Justices Collins and Stirling)

## FROM THE QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION.

For Hearing.

(Final List.)

1899.

Rowlands (applt) v Miller (respt) Crown side appl of respt from judgment of Justices Lawrance & Channell, dated Feb 17, 1899 (security ordered) March 3

1900.

Short v Foss appl of debts from judgment of Mr Justice Lawrance, dated Oct 28, 1899, without a jury, Middlesex (security ordered) Jan 27

The Ystradgynwg & Pontypridd Main Sewerage Board v The Assessment Committee of The Newport Union & Overseers of the Parish of Rumney (Crown Side) appl of respts from judgment of Justices Channell and Bucknill, dated Jan 17, 1900 Jan 31

Evans v Adeane appl of debt from judgment of Justices Channell and Bucknill, dated Jan 23, 1900 Jan 31

Thomas Wood ld v Walter Wood appl of pliffs from judgment of Mr Justice Ridley, dated Feb 13, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex Feb 21

Mercantile Bank ld v Bridgewater and anr appl of Bridgewater from judgment of Mr Justice Darling, dated February 16, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex Feb 24

Upperton v Sir Matthew White Ridley and anr (Crown Side) appl of pliff Upperton from judgment of Justices Channell and Bucknill, dated Feb 15, 1900 Feb 28

Walker v London appl of debt from judgment of Mr Justice Lawrance, dated Feb 8, 1900, at trial, Birmingham March 1

Manhattan Brass Co, &c v Gamage appl of pliffs from judgment of Mr Justice Bigham, dated Dec 12, 1899, at trial without jury, Middlesex (security ordered) March 6

Ebbw Vale, Co v Blaiza Iron Co. appl of pliffs from judgment of Mr Justice Kennedy, dated Feb 6, 1900, without jury, Middlesex March 8

McIntosh v Simpkins (Crown Side) appl of debt Simpkins from judgment of Justices Lawrance and Kennedy, dated Nov 3, 1900 (advanced by leave) Nov 14

Parsons v New Zealand Shipping Co appl of pliff from judgment of Mr Justice Kennedy, dated Feb 26, 1900, without jury, Middlesex March 12

Allen v Wingrove appl of debt from judgment of Mr Justice Ridley, dated Feb 23, 1900, without jury, Middlesex March 13

Bancroft & Thompson v Heath appl of pliffs from judgment of Mr Justice Mathew, dated Jan 15, 1900 (Commercial List), at trial, Middlesex March 16

Baden v Regan appl of pliff from judgment of Mr Justice Wright, dated March 10, 1900 March 17

The Council of the Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain v White (Crown Side) appl of pliffs from judgment of Justices Grantham and Channell, dated Jan 16, 1900 March 20

Fisher v Plumbly appl of pliff from judgment of Mr Justice Kennedy, dated March 12, 1900, without jury, Middlesex March 24

Bennett, Sharp & Co v Turner (Beckford, 3rd party) appl of 3rd party from judgment of Mr Justice Lawrance, dated March 20, 1900, at trial without jury, Middlesex March 29

Carter v Hart appl of debt from judgment of Mr Justice Grantham, dated March 24, 1900, at trial, Middlesex March 30

Yeatman v Walker & anr appl of pliff in person from judgment of Mr Justice Phillimore, dated March 26, 1900, at trial without jury, Middlesex March 30

J T Chambers v Harrop Goldthorpe (Crown Side) appl of debt from judgment of Justices Channell and Bucknill, dated Feb 5, 1900 March 31

The Rhymney Ry Co v The Great Western Ry Co (Railway & Canal Commission) appl of Rhymney Ry Co from judgment of Mr Justice Wright, Sir F Peel, and Viscount Cobham, dated March 19, 1900 April 2

Allen v McDowall appl of pliff from judgment of Mr Justice Grantham, dated March 10, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex April 5

The Crays Gas Co v The Bromley Gas Consumers' Co appl of debts from judgment of Mr Justice Bucknill, dated March 14, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex April 6

Restell & ors v Nye appl of pliffs from judgment of Mr Justice Mathew, dated Jan 23, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex April 9

Jones v Jones appl of debt from judgment of Mr Justice Bucknill, dated March 31, 1900, without a jury, Cardiff April 10

Leele & Co ld v The Managers of the Metropolitan Asylum District appl of pliffs from judgment of Justices Bigham & Phillimore, dated April 2, 1900 April 12

Beale v Bond (Crown side) appl of pliffs from judgment of Justices Day & Lawrance, dated March 31, 1900 April 12

For-backa Jernverks Actiebolog v Reliance Tube Co ld appl of debt from judgment of Mr Justice Kennedy, dated March 29, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex (Commercial list) April 18

Hall v The British National Premium Life Association appl of debts from judgment of Mr Justice Lawrance, dated April 5, 1900, at trial with special jury, Middlesex April 18

Bullock v Aiden & ors (Crown side) appl of pliff from judgment of Justices Day & Lawrance, dated March 30, 1900 April 18

Reed v Franks appl of pliff from judgment of Mr Justice Darling, dated April 24, 1900, at trial, London (jury withdrawn) security ordered May 3

Baron v Bower & anr appl of debt from judgment of Mr Justice Phillimore, dated March 13, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex May 3

Tolpitt v Henry appl of pliff from judgment of Mr Justice Bruce, dated April 27, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex May 18

Nickoll & Knight v Ashton, Edridge & Co appl of pliffs from judgment of Mr Justice Mathew, dated May 7, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex May 21

- Anglo-Argentine Live Stock & Produce Agency Ltd v Westoll appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated May 14, 1900, without jury, Middlesex May 24
- Imman v Ackroyd & Best Ltd appl of pl'tff from judgt of Mr Justice Bruce, dated May 11, 1900, without jury, Middlesex May 24
- Macley & ors v Spiller & Baker Ltd appl of pl'tff from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated May 21, 1900, without jury, Middlesex May 25
- Newman & Co v Institute, W Schimmelpfeig & anr appl of A Reinhardt, a def't, from judgt of Mr Justice Phillimore, dated May 14, 1900, at trial with common jury, Middlesex May 28
- Westwood v Wainwright appl of pl'tff from judgt of Justices Darling and Bucknill, dated May 22, 1900 May 28
- Dear v Wallis appl of pl'tff from judgt of Mr Justice Wright, dated May 17, 1900, without jury, Middlesex May 31
- Carmichael v Torroma appl of def't from judgt of Justices Darling and Bucknill, dated May 22, 1900 June 6
- Levett & anr v Hamblet appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated May 31, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex June 7
- Beckhuson & Gibbs v Hamblet appl of pl'tffs from judgt of Mr Justice Kennedy, dated March 14, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex (s o one month after "Levett & anr v Hamblet" disposed of—by order) June 8
- London & Provincial Bank Ltd v Jones appl of pl'tffs from judgt of Mr Justice Wright, dated May 11, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex June 8
- In the Matter of an Arbitration between Thomas James Masters & the Great Western Ry Co appl of the Great Western Ry Co from judgt of Justices Darling and Bucknill, dated May 30, 1900 June 13
- Giddy v Kerry appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Phillimore, dated March 19, 1900, and contention of pl'tff, dated June 20, 1900 June 15
- Kerin (widow) & ors v Weston appl of pl'tffs from judgt of Mr Justice Phillimore, dated March 16, 1900 (security ordered) June 16
- Quicke v Bargate appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Phillimore, dated June 1, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex June 21
- The Driefontein Consolidated Mines Ltd v Janson appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated June 1, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex June 25
- The West Rand Central Gold Mines Co Ltd v de Rougemont appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated June 1, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex June 25
- Brack v Maygrove appl of pl'tff from judgt of Mr Justice Wright, dated May 25, 1900 June 25
- The National Telephone Co Ltd, pl'tffs v Mayor, & Co of Huddersfield, def'ts (Crown Side) appl of pl'tffs from judgt of Justices Grantham & Channell, dated June 20, 1900 June 29
- The National Telephone Co Ltd, pl'tffs v The Mayor, & Co of Tunbridge Wells, def'ts (Crown Side) appl of pl'tffs from judgt of Justices Grantham & Channell, dated June 18, 1900 June 29
- Leicestershire Banking Co Ltd v Hawkins appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated April 2, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex June 30
- Barclay & Co Ltd v Drucker appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Phillimore, dated June 16, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex July 6
- Waite v Sharp appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Darling, dated June 2, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex July 13
- Stacey v Hill appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Phillimore, dated July 16, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex July 17
- Herbert Gold Ltd v Gorge Haycraft appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Darling, dated July 7, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex July 17
- Brown v Lawrence & Co appl of def'ts from judgt of Mr Justice Channell, dated June 23, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex July 18
- Scott & Horton v Ernest appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Bigham, dated July 9, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex July 20
- The International Stock Exchange Ltd v Baker appl of pl'tffs from judgt of Mr Justice Phillimore, dated July 9, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex July 23
- The Milford Docks Co v The Milford Haven Urban District Council appl of pl'tffs from judgt of Mr Justice Grantham, dated July 10, 1900, without a jury, Haverfordwest July 26
- Knowles v Huth & The Anglo-Continental Contract Corp'n Ltd and Witney, Graaf & Co appl of Anglo-Continental Corp'n from judgt of Mr Justice Lawrence, dated June 12, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex June 26
- Mandlikan v Morrison (Commercial Court) appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated July 26, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex Aug 1
- McGrath v Elder, Dempster & Co appl of pl'tff from judgt of The Judge of the Court of Passage (Liverpool), dated July 11, 1900 (security ordered) Aug 1
- Attorney-Gen & ors v Whitmore appl of pl'tffs from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated July 24, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex Aug 2
- Huntingdon & ors v The Lancashire & Yorkshire Ry Co (Railway & Canal Commission) appl of Huntingdon & ors from part of judgt of Mr Justice Wright, Sir F Peel & Viscount Cobham, dated May 24, 1900 Aug 6
- The Great Western Ry Co v The Metropolitan Ry Co, Aylesbury Station (Railway & Canal Commission) appl of def'ts The Metropolitan Ry Co from judgt of Mr Justice Wright, Sir F Peel and Viscount Cobham Aug 6
- Synchromy Syndicate Ltd v Turata appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Darling, dated July 17, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Aug 11
- Gray v Howcroft & ors appl of pl'tff from judgt of Mr Justice Day, dated July 31, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Aug 15
- Price & Pierce v The Marine Insee Co Ltd appl of pl'tffs from judgt of Mr Justice Bigham, dated July 2, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex Aug 15
- Millman v Lane appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Lawrence, dated Aug 10, 1900, without a jury (heard at Exeter, judgt given in London) Aug 15
- Versell & Co v H Lyon & Mayer appl of pl'tffs from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated Aug 1, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex Aug 16
- Cundall & ors v Mountain appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Ridley, dated Aug 8, 1900, without jury, Leeds Aug 17
- Attey & anr v Foralind & Sons appl of def'ts from judgt of Mr Justice Kennedy, dated Aug 6, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Aug 20
- In re Earnshaw Wall & In re Solicitors Act, 1868 appl of E Wall in person from judgt of Justices Day and Darling, dated Aug 7, 1900 Aug 21
- Vivian v Port Talbot Ry & Docks Co appl of def'ts from judgt of Mr Justice Phillimore, dated July, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Aug 22
- Marwood v Taylor appl of pl'tff from judgt of Mr Justice Bigham, dated July 12, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Sept 12
- Preston v Pickersgill & Sons appl of def'ts from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated July 30, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Sept 13
- Sanders v Minstrell appl of pl'tff from judgt of Mr Justice Wills, dated Aug 20, 1900, without jury, Warwick Sept 17
- Tilbury v Hood appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Ridley, dated June 21, 1900, Middlesex Sept 24
- Prescott, Dimadale, Cave, Tugwell & Co Ltd v Wright appl of pl'tffs from judgt of Mr Justice Kennedy, dated Aug 2, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Oct 17
- In the matter of Robert Fairer Mason, a solr, and In re Solicitors Act, 1888 appl of solr from judgt of Justices Kennedy and Darling, dated Aug 3, 1900 Oct 23
- Campion & Co v Valentine Extract Co Ltd & ors appl of pl'tffs from judgt of Mr Justice Darling, dated July 21, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Oct 25
- The Steamship Balmoral Co Ltd v Marten appl of pl'tffs from judgt of Mr Justice Bigham, dated Aug 11, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Nov 2
- Macdonald & anr v Faulkner appl of def'ts from judgt of Mr Justice Phillimore, dated Oct 25, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Nov 5
- Lawther v Black appl of pl'tff from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated Oct 30, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Nov 8
- Baker, Freeman & Co v Tottenham appl of pl'tff from judgt of Mr Justice Darling, dated Nov 2, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Nov 9
- Mared & Sons v Capital & Counties Newspaper Co Ltd and Bottomley appl of def't Bottomley from judgt of Mr Justice Channell, dated Nov 1, 1900, common jury, Middlesex Nov 16
- Ward v Fry appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Wright, dated Nov 12, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Nov 17
- Marks v Pape appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Grantham, dated Nov 5, 1900, special jury, Middlesex Nov 17
- Paul Boyer Ltd v Edwards appl of pl'tffs from Mr Justice Darling, dated Nov 5, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Nov 17
- Jennings v Mather (Gray, clmt) (Crown Side) appl of clmt Gray on behalf of Mather, from Justices Lawrence and Kennedy, dated Nov 3, 1900 Nov 17
- Callum v Hodges appl of pl'tff from judgt of Mr Justice Darling, dated Nov 7, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Nov 19
- Vaux & Son v Wimperis & Arber appl of def'ts from judgment of Mr Justice Grantham, dated Nov 3, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Nov 22
- The Vestry of the Parish of St James & St John, Clerkenwell (appellants) v J Edmondson & Son, respondents (Crown Side) appl of appellants from the judgt of The Lord Chief Justice and Mr Justice Kennedy, dated Nov 16, 1900 Nov 26
- W Montgomery & Co v The Indemnity Mutual Marine, & Co, Ltd appl of def'ts from judgment of Mr Justice Mathew, dated Nov 9, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Nov 27
- Holland & Co Ltd v Sarah Jean Langdale appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Darling, dated Nov 5, 1900, without jury, Middlesex Nov 30
- Saunders v White & Samuel (Thomas Biggs, clmt) (Crown Side) appl of clmt from judgt of the Lord Chief Justice and Mr Justice Kennedy, dated Nov 21, 1900 Nov 30
- Richard Yates v William Francis Terry (Crown Side) appl of pl'tff from judgt of Justices Lawrence and Kennedy, dated Oct 31, 1900 Dec 1
- The Great Western Ry Co v The Metropolitan Ry Co (Railway and Canal Commission) appl of def'ts The Metropolitan Ry Co from judgt of Mr Justice Wright, Sir F. Peel, and Viscount Cobham, dated Nov 21, 1900 Dec 4
- La Société Anonyme L'Industrielle Russo-Belge v H Scholefield & Son appl of pl'tffs from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated Nov 23, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex Dec 4
- Guthrie & ors v North China Insee Co Ltd appl of def'ts from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated Nov 23, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex Dec 6
- Hobbs & Son v Turner appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Kennedy, dated Nov 24, 1900, with jury, Middlesex Dec 10
- A & A Crompton & Co Ltd v The Lancashire and Yorkshire Ry Co (Railway & Canal Commission) appl of def'ts from judgt of Mr Justice Wright, Sir F Peel, and Viscount Cobham, dated Nov 8, 1900 Dec 17
- Morgan, Wakley & Co v Powley, Thomas & Co appl of def't from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated Nov 30, 1900, without a jury, Middlesex Dec 17
- Chantrey v Foster & Co appl of pl'tff from judgt of Mr Justice Darling, dated Oct 27, 1900, without a jury Dec 21
- Eagle Bott v Masham appl of pl'tff from judgt of Mr Justice Mathew, dated Dec 12, 1900, without a jury (Commercial List), Middlesex Dec 21

FROM THE PROBATE, DIVORCE AND ADMIRALTY DIVISION  
(ADMIRALTY).  
FOR HEARING.  
With Nautical Assessors.  
(Final List).  
1900.

Campania—1900—E.—No. 1,554 (Liverpool) District Registry Folio, 492

—Owners of Embleton & ors v Owners of Campania appl of defts from judgt of Mr Justice Barnes, dated Nov 20, 1900 Dec 14 (Interlocutory List).

The Cogent 1900 Folio 109 Owners of Pina v Owners of Cogent appl of defts from order of Mr. Justice Barnes, dated Aug 1, 1900 Aug 8

FROM THE QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION.  
(New Trial Paper.)

1899.

Woolley v Manchester Ship Canal Co appln of defts for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict and judgt, dated March 13, 1899, at trial before T H Baylis, Esq, and special jury (Court of Passage, Liverpool) —Pltff dead April 19

1900.

Wigglesworth v Midland Ry Co appln of plttf for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict & judgt, dated July 31, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Bruce and special jury, Leeds Aug 8

Hill v British Natural Premium Assoc, ld appln of defts for judgment or new trial on appl from verdict and judgt, dated Oct 30, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Grantham and special jury, Middlesex Nov 2

Johnson v Taftt appln of deft for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict and judgt, dated Nov 1, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Grantham and special jury, Middlesex Nov 8

Freel v The Bury, Rochdale & Oldham Tramway Co ld appln of defts for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict & judgt, dated July 14, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Phillimore and special jury, Manchester July 31

Williams v Ducat & ors appln of plttf for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict & judgt, dated June 20, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Day and special jury, Oxford July 31

Hainsworth v The British Workman's & General Assoc Co ld appln of plttf for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict & judgt, dated July 30, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Bruce and special jury, Leeds Aug 17

Mayor, & Co of Wolverhampton v Emmons appln of deft for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict & judgt, dated Aug 27, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Wills and special jury, Birmingham Sept 14

Gordon v Fowler appln of plttf for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict & judgt, dated Aug 13, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Wills & special jury, Birmingham Oct 29

Clark v Dixon, Brown & ors appln of defts for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict and judgt dated , 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Bruce and special jury, Newcastle-on-Tyne (s o till after judgt given by Bruce, J) Nov 2

Gordon v The London, City, & Midland Bank ld appln of plttf for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict and judgment dated August 18, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Bucknill and special jury, Birmingham (s o till after judgt given by Bucknill, J, on further consideration) Nov 12

Same v Capital & Counties Bank ld - appln of plttf for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict and judgt, dated Aug 20, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Bucknill and special jury, Birmingham Nov 12

Rath v The Dunlop Pneumatic Tyre Co ld appln of defts for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict & judgt, dated Nov 8, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Wills and special jury, Middlesex Nov 16

W Ludbrook v H E Ludbrook appln of deft for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict & judgt, dated Nov 10, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Grantham and special jury, Middlesex Nov 17

F A Ludbrook v H E Ludbrook appln of deft for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict & judgt, dated Nov 10, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Grantham and special jury, Middlesex Nov 17

Geeves v London General Omnibus Co ld appln of defts for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict & judgt, dated Nov 9, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Grantham and special jury, Middlesex Nov 21

Hammond v Gavin appln of deft for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict & judgt, dated Nov 14, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Wills and special jury, Middlesex Nov 22

Farquhar, North, & Co v E Lloyd & Co ld. appln of defts for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict & judgt, dated Nov 22, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Wills and special jury, Middlesex Nov 28

Lowenstein v Wood appln of plttf for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict & judgt, dated Dec 5, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Bigham and special jury, London Dec 12

Reece v Howard appln of plttf for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict and judgt, dated Dec 6, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Kennedy and special jury, Middlesex Dec 12

King v Mayor, & Co, of the Borough of Battersea appln of defts for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict & judgt, dated Dec 7, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Ridley and common jury, Middlesex Dec 15

Rebington v Mayor, & Co, of Liverpool appln of defts for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict and judgt, dated Nov 28, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Bucknill, with a jury, Leeds Dec 21

Battye v Shelton & anr appln of defts for judgt or new trial on appl from verdict and judgt, dated Dec 14, 1900, at trial before Mr Justice Grantham with a jury Dec 22

FROM THE QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION.  
(Interlocutory List.)

1900.

Matthews & ors v Colls & anr appl of plttf from order of Mr Justice Bucknill, dated June 13, 1900 (security ordered) June 26

The President and Fellows of Sion College (app'ts) v The Mayor and Commonalty and Citizens of the City of London (respts) (Crown Side) appl of applicants from order of Justices Grantham and Channell, dated June 19, 1900 (to be heard by three judges) July 11

Quirk, Barton & Co v Robertson, Salley & Co appl of defts from order of Mr Justice Mathew (Commercial List), dated Nov 16, 1900 Nov 21

Puplett v De Sarum & ors appl of deft from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Nov 15, 1900 Nov 23

Goddard v Rust appl of deft from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Nov 23, 1900 Nov 26

School Board for District of Llanbadarnfawr, Cardiganshire v The Official Trustees of Charitable Funds (Crown Side) appl of plttf from order of the Lord Chief Justice and Mr Justice Kennedy, dated Nov 14, 1900 Nov 27

Colyer v Birkin appl of deft from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Nov 12, 1900 Nov 21

Parsonage v Brighton Hotels, ld appl of defts from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Nov 22, 1900 Nov 28

The Queen v Archdeacon Sowter (Crown side) appl of deft Sowter from order of the Lord Chief Justice and Mr Justice Kennedy, dated Nov 14, 1900 Nov 29

Pope v Curzon appl of plttf from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Nov 16, 1900 Nov 29

Regina v Justices of Durham (Crown Side) appl of J Oliver from order of Justices Lawrance and Kennedy, dated Nov 1, 1900 Nov 15 The Queen v J.J. of Durham (Crown Side) appl of the North Eastern Ry from order of Justices Lawrance and Kennedy, dated Nov 1, 1900 (to be in the paper together) Nov 17

Murchison v Routh appl of plttf from order of Mr. Justice Bucknill, dated July 11, 1900 (restored by order, Dec 19, 1900) July 13

Saffery v Whitaker appl of deft from order of Mr Justice Bucknill, dated July 18, 1900 Nov 29

Montrie v Mitchell & anr appl of plttf in person from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Nov 23, 1900 Dec 3

Ralli v Werhner, Beit, & Co & ors appl of defts Werhner, Beit, & Co & London Wall Estate, ld, from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Nov 21, 1900 Dec 3

Goldie v Slater's Detective Assoc appl of plttf from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Nov 27, 1900 (security ordered) Dec 5 Same v Same appl of plttf from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Dec 1, 1900 (security ordered) Dec 5

Booth's Distillery, ld v Jacobs & anr appl of defts from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Dec 4, 1900 Dec 4

Chessum & Sons v Gordon appl of deft from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Nov 27, 1900 Dec 7

A de Coppett v Barnett & ors appl of plttf from order of Mr Justice Darling, dated Nov 26, 1900 Dec 7

Pawley v Thornton appl of plttf from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Dec 4, 1900 Dec 8

Klauber v Will appl of Garnishees from order of Mr. Justice Day, dated Nov 27, 1900 Dec 11

Central Rubber & Cycle Accessories Co v A E Collins (deft) & Amelia Collins (clmnt) appl of clmnt from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Dec 4, 1900 Dec 12

Dunlop Pneumatic Tyre Co ld & ors v Continental aoutchouc Gutta Percha Co appl of deft from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Dec 6, 1900 Dec 12

Bal & Co v C de Courcalle appl of deft from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Nov 16, 1900 (security ordered) Dec 14

Behrend v Pinto Leite appl of deft from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Dec 6, 1900 Dec 15

In re The Municipal Corporations Act, 1882, and In the Matter of Election of Sheriff for the City of Gloucester (Nov 9, 1900) Pope, petnr v Bruton, resp't appl of resp't from order of Mr Justice Kennedy, dated Dec 13, 1900 Dec 15

Kyffin v Bexhill Urban District Council appl of plttf from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Dec 11, 1900 Dec 21

J Myring & Co v A H Syngt appl of deft from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Dec 20, 1900 Dec 21

Osborn v Winn appl of deft from order of Mr Justice Day, dated Dec 20, 1900 Dec 22

FROM COUNTY COURT.

Judgment Reserved.

In re The Workmen's Compensation Act, 1897.

In the Matter, &c Jane Adams, applicant v Celynew Colliery Co ld, re p'ts (Crown side) appl of applicant from award of County Court (Monmouthshire, Newport), dated April 19, 1900 (c.a.v. Nov 13, 1900)

In the Matter, &c Margaret Reese, applicant v Ely Rhondda Collieries Co ld, resp't (Crown side) appl of applicant from award of County Court (Glamorganshire, Porth), dated Oct 12, 1900 part heard Nov 13, 1900

(Both the above to stand over till after judgment given in the House of Lords in "Stuart v Nixon & anr" and "Lawson v A. Knowles & Sons" (by order))

FROM COUNTY COURT.

In re The Workmen's Compensation Act, 1897.

1900.

In the Matter, &c Ben Whitehead, applicant v William Reader, resp't (Crown side) appl of resp't from award of County Court (Warwickshire, Coventry), dated June 13, 1900 (restored) July 3

In the Matter, &c Emma Gattford, applicant v Albert & Edward Haynes (trading as Frogley & Deacon), resp'ts (Crown side) appl of resp'ts from award of County Court (Wiltshire, Swindon), dated June 13, 1900 (restored) July 3

In the Matter, &c Mary Ann Lowrie, widow, applicant v George Pattee, resp't (Crown side) appl of applicant from award of County Court (Middlesex, Shoreditch), dated July 20, 1900 Aug 1

In the Matter, &c Margaret Beavan, applicant v Crawshaw Bros, Cyfartha ld, resp'ts (Crown side) appl of resp'ts from award of County Court (Glamorganshire, Merthyr Tydfil), dated July 12, 1900 Aug 1

In the Matter, &c Hannah Mary Kelly, applicant v Oceanic Steam Navigation Co ld, respts (Crown side) appl of applicant from award of County Court (Lancashire, Liverpool), dated Oct 18, 1900 (s o 7 days' notice to restore, by order, Nov 14) Nov 6

In the Matter, &c Henry Fullick, applicant v Evans, O'Donnell & Co ld, respts (Crown side) appl of respts from award of County Court (Middlesex, Westminster), dated Oct 22, 1900 Oct 10

In the Matter, &c M Maran, applicant v Hazeldine Bros, respt (Crown Side) appl of applicant from award of County Court (Surrey, Southwark), dated Nov 8, 1900 (security ordered) Nov 21

In the Matter, &c Mary Thompson, applicant v The Ashington Coal Co ld, respts (Crown Side) appl of respts from award of County Court (Northumberland), dated Nov 15, 1900 Nov 30

In the Matter, &c Ann Prosser, applicant v The Hills Plymouth Co ld, respts (Crown Side) appl of applicant from award of County Court (Glamorganshire, Merthyr Tydfil), dated Nov 16, 1900 Dec 7

In the Matter, &c Maria Jones, applicant v The Dowlais Iron Co ld, respt (Crown Side) appl of applicant from award of County Court (Glamorganshire, Merthyr Tydfil), dated Nov 16, 1900 Dec 7

In the Matter, &c Samuel Wooley, applicant v Leys Malleable Casting Co ld, respts (Crown Side) appl of respts from award of County Court (Derbyshire, Derby & Long Eaton), dated Dec 4, 1900 Dec 21

N.B.—The above list contains Chancery, Palatine, and Queen's Bench Final and Interlocutory Appeals set down to Dec 24, 1900.

### HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE. CHANCERY DIVISION.

Chancery Causes for Trial or Hearing.  
(Set down to Dec 24, 1900, inclusive.)

Before Mr. Justice KEKEWICH.  
Retained by Order.

Motions.  
Lady Bateman v Faber  
Antoine Fils & Co v Vickers  
Lindsay, Gracie & Co v Ward  
In re E F Perkins Holthby v Perkins

Petitions.  
Haynes v Foster  
Bath v Bath

Adjourned Summonses.  
In re Pitt Rivers Scott v Pitt Rivers pt hd  
In re Hames Malyon v Wright  
In re Squires' Trusts Chester & Fowler v The Universities of Oxford and Cambridge & The Attorney-General  
Oxenden v Phipson  
In re Gregory Gregory v Monks (s o generally)

Further Considerations.  
In re The New Zealand Midland Ry Co ld Smith v Lubbock fur con Causes for Trial (with Witnesses).  
F Warr & Co ld v Edwards act (restored) day to be fixed  
Bauer v Silicate Paint Co (J B Orr & Co ld) act  
Law v Law act  
Rajah of Vizianagram v Turner act (s o till depositions filed)  
Bennie v Dracup act (s o Easter)  
Dunlop Pneumatic Tyre Co ld v Non-Collapsible Tyre Co ld act (pleadings to be delivered)  
Attorney-General v Birmingham, Tame, & Rea District Drainage Board act  
Sidaway v Evans act (restored)  
Bunge v Higginbottom & Co ld act  
In re Allen's Patent, No 12,013 of 1887 & Patents, &c, Acts petn order'd to go into witness list by order, March 17, 1900 (s o for amendment)  
Bullard v Bullard & Sons ld act  
Bullard v Bullard act (plff dead)  
Williams v Wyatt Wyatt v Williams act (s o till Re Williams and James disposed of in Court of Appeal)  
In re Leonard Mount v Lennard act (Jan 21, after Leach v Marshall)  
Coveney v Mayor, &c, of Colchester act (Easter)

Davies v Taylor act  
Thompson v Rutter act (s o pleadings to be delivered)  
Harrison v Gracie act (plff bankrupt)  
Moore v Hughes act  
Millbank v Millbank act (s o to come on with anr act when ready)  
Edwards v Howard act (head of List Feb 12)  
Buchanan (trading &c) v Day act (pleadings to be delivered)  
Tweedie v Oxley act and m f j  
In re the Companies Acts, 1867 to 1890 and In re E Gabarrot & Co ld motion entered in Witness List pt hd (s o to Jan 15)  
Surtees v Staffordshire Financial Co ld act (order'd to stand over to end of Michaelmas Sittings)  
Earl of Normanton v Hibberd act  
Dawson v Lipscomb act (s o gen)  
Limburg v Daniels act  
C Bright & Co ld v Lewis act  
Jenkins v Rees act  
Faber v J & J Charlesworth ld act  
Rice v Mayor, &c of Bristol act (without pleadings)  
The Plymouth & Dartmoor Ry Co v The Great Western Ry Co act  
In re Humby Humby v Saunders motn entered in witness list  
Ashworth v English Card Clothing Co act  
Martin v Spencer act  
Rushbrooke (trading, &c) v Beere act without pleadings  
Kerby v Bennett act  
In re Dunn Dunn v Skardon act  
Spikins v Webber act without pleadings  
British Motor Traction Co ld v Sherrin act (pleadings to be delivered)  
In re Staines Seaward v Staines act  
In re the Co's Act, 1862 and In re the Anglo-American Exchange ld (expte Simpson) motn entered in witness list  
Suggers v Hollis act  
Marquis of Abergavenny v Haward act  
Alaska Packers' Assoc v Robert Crooks & Co act  
Smith v Haynes act  
In re Barytes & Lead Co ld  
Pfeiderer v Barytes & Lead Co ld act  
Ostwind v Goldberg act  
Bernstone v Davis act  
Heaton v Greenwood & Bailey act

Drydale v Dugdale act  
In re Anderson Britton v Billinghurst adjd sums entered in witness list  
The Financial & General Syndicate ld v Gray act & m f j  
Commissioners for the Harbour of Poole v Pike act  
Blackstone v Thacker act  
In re Clark Clark v Foster adjd sums entered as witness act  
Barnett v Humble act  
Ball v Kennington act (pleadings to be delivered)  
Dean (trading, &c) v Blatchford act for trial without pleadings  
Foy, Morgan & Co v Kempf act & m f j  
Dorricott v Blake act  
Wells v Street act  
Grunnell v Jones act  
In re Burgess Burgess v Burgess act  
Cooper v Ingram act  
The Merton Turner Guiltcross Agricultural Implement Works ld v Swan act  
Hewison v Heathman act  
De Burgh v Houston act  
London & Lancashire ld v Walsh act  
Brand v Miller act  
Waters v Scrymgeour act without pleadings (Jan 28)  
Powell Duffryn Steam Coal Co ld v Lewis act  
Leach v Marshall motn with witnesses (Jan 21, head of List)  
Valentine v Hunt & Co act (Jan 14, by order)

Before Mr. Justice WRIGHT.  
(Sitting as an additional Judge of the Chancery Division.)  
Companies (Winding-up).  
Petitions.  
Army Cycle Rest Syndicate ld (petn of H J Follett)  
River Plate Electric Light & Traction Co ld (petn of Glyn, Mills, Currie & Co)  
Inslaid Linoleum (Thomson's Patent) Co ld (petn of J F Walsh)  
Fromm's Extract Co ld (petn of Albert Schwarz)  
London & International Conversations Cold (petn of P Macfayden)  
Coventry Gas Fitting, Electrical & Engineering Co ld (petn of A Davies & anr)  
West Australian Gold Concessions ld (petn of W G D Beare)  
Associated Bhodesian Gold Estates ld (petn of Hammond's Matabele Gold Mines Development ld by its liquidator)  
Anglo-Indian & American Bank ld (petn of G H Phillips)  
Fawke & Co ld (petn of Broadfoot & Box Furniture Co)  
City of London Manufacturing Co ld (petn of George Spafford & Co)  
Thurbers ld (petn of Costante Togni)  
Carbon (New) Syndicate ld (petn of Niagara Pulverizer ld and its liquidator)  
Hampshire Brick and Tile Co ld (petn of Sercombe & Co)  
Green & Co ld (petn of E M Slater)  
Lucia Silver Mines ld (petn of Frank Jackson & Co)  
Venner's Cigarette Machine Co ld (petn of E S Caton)  
Rhea Insulite Syndicate ld (petn of Volente ld)  
Thames White Lead Co ld (petn of R Ramsden & Son)  
Buluwayo Consolidated Gold Fields ld (petn of A D Cochrane and anr)

New London Discount Co ld (petn of William Williams Gill)  
Lombard Contract Corp'n ld (petn of E Bellairs)  
Cheque Bank ld (petn of Most Hon Wm Montagu Hay, Marquis of Tweeddale)  
Same (petn of George Edwardes)  
Light Comedy Opera Syndicate ld (petn of Hugo Baruch & Co)  
Chancery Division.  
Niger Co ld & reduced (petn of Company)  
Jones, Evans & Co ld & reduced (petn of Company)  
Electricity Supply Co for Spain ld and reduced (petition of Company)  
Hood & Moore's Stores ld & reduced (petn of Company)  
Southwood, Smith & Co ld & reduced (petn of Company)  
International Trust & Finance Corp'n & reduced (petn of Company)  
Jadoo ld & reduced (petn of Company)

Companies (Winding-up).  
Petition for sanction of scheme of Arrangement  
Lake Copais Co ld (petn of Company & its Liquidator)  
Court Summonses.  
Tyrian Construction Co ld (on claim of Pilling)  
Ebenezer Roberts & Sons ld (for misfeasance, with witnesses)  
London & Northern Bank ld (on claim of McConnell, with witnesses)  
Lady Forrest (Murchison) Gold Mine ld (for misfeasance, with witnesses)  
S'razza & Co ld (for misfeasance, with witnesses)  
London General Assets Corp'n ld (for misfeasance)  
General Investors' Syndicate ld (on claim of King)  
W B Price & Co (for repayment by Liquidator, witnesses)  
British Drying Co ld (to vary list of contributories, witnesses)  
Self-Acting Pneumatic Tyre Pump Syndicate ld (on claim of Ward, witnesses)

Before Mr. Justice BYRNE.  
Retained by order.  
Motions.  
Melrose v Breit  
Elliot v Madame Tussauds & Sons ld  
Pemberton v Sunderland Corp'n  
Ixion Tyre & Cycle Co ld v Northern Pneumatic Co  
Kennedy v United African Lands ld  
Gully Gully v Gully  
Hughes v Bennett

Adjourned Summonses.  
In re The London Parochial Charities (expte Central London Ry Co) pt hd  
In re Boyd Smith v Boyd pt hd (s o generally)  
In re Wicks Wicks v Shepherd  
In re de Falbe's Estate  
In re Moore Bartholomew v Moore  
Evans v Mitchell & Butler ld  
In re Tovey Tovey v Perham  
In re Howard Garnett Botfield v Howard  
In re Rowe Jago v Rowe  
In re Holland Dick v Holland  
Elmore's Trust ld v de Winton act & m f j  
In re Edmondstone Bevan v Edmondstone

In re Shuttleworth Ainslee v  
Lea-k  
In re Pereira Price v Pereira  
Cohen v York H're Cycle Co (pro-  
cedur-)

Causes for Trial (with Witnesses).  
Match w's v Wilmer act (pleadings  
to be delivered)  
Lord Monson v Ingills act (plead-  
ings to be delivered)  
White v Luckworth & Co act  
(pleadings to be delivered)  
A W Gamage ld v Beasley act  
(pleadings to be delivered)  
Law v Spiers & Pond ld act Same  
v Same act (transferred from  
Q B Division) (stand over)  
Kewell v Blackstaffe act (pleadings  
to be delivered)  
Ackerman v Smallpieces act deft  
dead (so by order)  
Northcroft v Pridaux act (amended  
pleadings to be delivered)  
In re Brown Brown v Brown act  
and m f j (not to be heard before  
act in Probate Division disposed  
of)  
Moon v Papillon act (pleadings to  
be delivered)  
Bexhill Urban District Council v  
Hotel Metropole, Bexhill-on-Sea,  
ld act for trial (pleadings to be  
delivered)  
Draper v Star Omnibus Co act  
(pleadings to be delivered)  
Parry v Williams act (so 7 days  
after particulars delivered)  
Williams v Ingram act (not to be  
in the paper for four weeks after  
hearing of appeal or filing affi-  
davit, by order, Oct 29, 1900)  
In re John Lake & Son, ld Bolitho  
& Co v John Lake & Son, ld  
question in act entered in witness  
list  
Urquhart v Newton act  
Edison Bell Consolidated Phono-  
graph Co ld v Columbia Phono-  
graph ld act (pleadings to be  
delivered)  
Kane v J Boyle & Co act  
Green v Ellis act (so for delivery  
of particulars)  
Pikington v Beck act (pleadings  
to be delivered)  
Jameson v Boehmer act  
Midland Ry Co v Wright act  
Mousley v Hilliard act (so 2nd  
week in Sitting-)  
Ledsam v London & North Western  
Ry Co act  
Hicks v Hicks act (pleadings to be  
delivered)  
Debenham v Sawbridge act  
Smeed, Dean & Co ld v Jackson act  
Daniels v Webster act  
Kelly's Directories ld v Gavin &  
Lloyds act  
Davey v Lachenal & Co act  
Cohen v Yorkshire County Cycle  
Co ld act  
Farmer v Farmer act  
Inman v Gardiner act  
Powell v Strange act (pleadings to  
be delivered)  
Rapkin v Blaiberg act (pleadings  
to be delivered)  
Brandon v The Capital Finance Co  
ld act  
Padwick v Gregory act  
Roberts v Barclay & Sons ld act  
(pleadings to be delivered)  
Lever v Koffler act  
International Bank of London ld v  
Rio do Janeiro Flour Mills, &c,  
ld act (stayed until depositions  
filed)  
In re Taylor Taylor v Taylor act  
Royal Agricultural Hall Co ld v  
Cordingley act without pleadings  
Bousac v A W Gamage ld act  
(pleadings to be delivered)

Adler v Joel act  
Kohler v Mansions Proprietary ld  
act  
Howard v Hicklin act  
Wyler v Seear act  
Wyler v Investment Trust ld act  
Tunton v Turton act (Reading,  
D R)  
Veale v Nicholls act (Bristol, D R)  
Johnson v Bath act  
In re Hine Hine v Ashwell act  
Pryor v Grimston act  
Rigden v Hilledon act & counter-  
claim (so 10 days after return of  
Commission)

Before Mr. Justice COZENS-HARDY.  
Retained by Order.

## Motions.

Kay & Son v Upjohn  
Stogdon v Brown, Janson & Co  
Wathe - upon - Dearne District  
Council v Dearne Valley Water-  
works  
In re Richardson Rob v Richardson  
Walsh, Asquith & Co ld v Bentley  
Soper v Pritchard (so 2nd motion  
day after motions)

## Adjourned Summonses.

In re Hotham Hotham v Doughty  
adjd summs pt hd  
In re The Patents, Designs, and  
Trade Marks Act, 1883, and  
Trade Mark No. 221,738 for  
registration of Trade Mark  
Uneda  
Beattie v Bawtree adjd summs  
(so generally)  
In re Fish Lea v Prestige adjd  
summs (so)  
In re Moulton Price v Hyde adjd  
summs  
In re Brown Brown v Brown  
adjd summs  
In re Cash & Starkey and V & P  
Act adjd summs  
In re Peek Drury v Peek adjd  
summs (so generally)  
Mear v Spindler adjd summs  
In re Gassiot Fladgate v Vintner's  
Co adjd summs  
In re Angel Angel v Angel adjd  
summs

## Petitions.

Bennett v Matthews  
The New York Security & Trust Co  
v A Keyser & Co

## Further Considerations.

In re The Aranco Co ld Fleming v  
The Company In re Same Tiarks  
v The Company fur con (so till  
after 31st Jan, then to be men-  
tioned)  
In re Tucker Tucker v Tucker  
fur con (deft W Tucker, dead)

Causes for Trial (with Witnesses).  
Muller v Nicholls act (to be placed  
in list after another act not yet  
set down)  
In re Maltby Seller v Giddy act  
In re Hooper Nickell v Hooper  
act (not to be in list before appln  
made)  
Petbick v Burnell act  
In re Butler Evison v Butler  
question No 1 of adjd summs (to  
be heard as Witness Action)  
In re O'Connor O'Connor v O'Connor  
adjd summs entered in Witness  
List  
Cope v Marquess of Abergavenny  
act  
Mertens v Hill act

Jones v Cadbury act (so generally)  
Sanderson v Sanderson act  
Sandys v Ferguson, Cowan & Co  
act  
Page v Venn act & m f j  
Horne v Jewell act (further  
security ordered)  
Sperling v Dyke act & counter-  
claim  
Barham v Evered act Evered v  
Electrical Undertaking ld act  
to come on together  
The Bradford Dyers' Association ld  
v Williams act (not until ten  
days after judgt in "Bradford  
Dyers' Association ld v Bury")  
Ellis v London & District Sanitary  
Laundries ld act  
Brownfield v Wilde act  
Hope v Hope act  
Gibb v Steanes act  
de Barreto v Cartwright act  
Mee v Bevan act  
Baker v The Pickering Urban  
District Council act  
Burgoyne v Biggleswade Rural  
District Council act (pleadings  
to be delivered)  
Yorke v Wright act  
Kibble v Chipping Norton Urban  
District Council act  
In re The Co's Acts, 1862 to 1898  
and In re The Advance Insee Co  
ld (expte R Peachey) motn  
entered for trial in witness list  
In re The Same (Expte T. Davies)  
motn entered for trial in witness  
list  
Fludyer v Capital & Counties Bank  
ld act  
Countess of Belmore v Kent County  
Council act  
In re The Co's Act, 1862 and In re  
The Anglo-American Exchange  
ld (Howick's case) motn to  
rectify Register entered in witness  
list

Dutton v Stephenson act  
Henshall v Henshall act  
Goff v Goff act  
Gedge v Bartlett act (so generally)  
Kemp v Lands Trading Co ld act  
Attenborough v Clifford act  
Llewelyn v Lord Swansea act  
Bailey v Lewis act  
Read v The Era Incandescent Oil  
Co ld act  
Gottwaltz v Gottwaltz act  
Lazarus v Nathan act  
Tinsley v Lewis act  
Equitable Life Assce Soc of the  
United States v Muir act  
Lloyd's Bank ld v Pearson act &  
m f j  
Naylor Leyland v Naylor Leyland  
act  
Poole v Ashburner act  
Good v Nicholson act  
Pavy v Smith act  
Clarke v Alexander act  
Huntly-Gordon v Dinkelspiel act  
Mayor, &c, of Harrogate v Holmes  
act

Before Mr. Justice FARWELL.

Retained by Order.

## Motions.

Davies v Taylor  
Welsbach Incandescent & Co v  
Standard Incandescent Co  
Batchelor v Tunbridge Wells In-  
candescent Co  
Portland District Council v Bostel  
Gould v Davenport  
In re Dominion Brewery  
Bell v Totnes Corporation  
Chaplin v Vestry of St Martin's  
Abery v Isard

Isard v Abery

## Adjourned Summonses.

The retained summonses are entered  
(according to date of adjourn-  
ment) in the non-witness list.

## Actions for Trial (with Witnesses).

The Trustees, Executors, & Se-  
curities Insee Corpn ld v Arm-  
strong act & adjd summs pt hd  
(restored)  
Alianza Co ld v Lumbcke act and  
3rd party notice of Nitrate Ry  
Co ld  
Collins v Solomon act  
London & Westminster Bank ld v  
Rothery act and m f j  
Down v Lederer act (pleadings to  
be delivered)  
Strong v J Owen & Sons ld act  
Lanyon v Isitt act  
Zender v Lock act  
Roberts v Nunn act  
Finch v Harding act  
The Main Colliery Co ld v Rural  
District Council of Neath act  
(pleadings to be delivered)  
Westcott v Arnold act (pleadings  
to be delivered)  
Thorns v Thorns act  
Actien Gesellschaft fur Cartonnagen  
Industries v Teimler act (restored)  
so until after appeal  
The Bristol Tramways & Carriage  
Co ld v Star Life Assce Soc act  
(pleadings to be delivered)  
Thomas v Showell act (pleadings  
to be delivered)  
Gibbon v Whiteley act (pleadings  
to be delivered) Jan 22  
Graham v Vivian fur con (so  
generally)  
In re Windham Saffling v Batt  
fur con

Adjourned Summonses and Causes  
for Trial (without Witnesses).

In re Derbon Derbon v Collis  
adjd summs (so generally, liberty  
to apply to restore) retained  
In re Sandbach, &c, Charity  
Attorney-Gen v Earl of Crewe  
adjd summs (day to be fixed for  
hearing) retained  
In re Jennings Estate Lermite v  
Plakett In re Same Same v  
Same Two adjd summonses to  
be treated as one summs  
In Perch Preston v Perch adjd  
summs (retained)  
In re Bainton Austin v Djer  
(restored)  
In re Wentworth Elliot v Wright  
adjd summs (so generally)  
retained  
In re Handley Davey v Longhurst  
adjd summs (so liberty to  
amend)  
In re Tyler & Charitable Tru-ts  
Acts adjd summs (retained)  
In re Humby Humby v Saunders  
adjd summs  
In re Kelly Kelly v Norton adjd  
summs (retained)  
In re Ascroft Pridham v Wippell  
adjd summs (so generally) re-  
tained  
In re John John v Davies adjd  
summs  
In re Harland Harland v Harland  
adjd summs  
In re Morris Griffith v George  
adjd summs  
In re Tanqueray Tanqueray v  
Tanqueray adjd summs  
In re Percival Walpole v Percival  
adjd summs (retained)  
In re Evans Evans v Powell adjd  
summs (retained)

In re Miller Rolling v Rolling  
adjd summs  
In re Baillie Du Crox v Baillie  
adjd summs  
Hirst v Hirst adjd summs  
Neumann v London County Council  
adjd summs  
In re King King v King adjd  
summs  
In re Mayo Chester v K-vil adjd  
summs  
In re John Dixon adjd summs  
In re Howard Taylor v Howard  
adjd summs  
In re Lancaster Ellis v Berkeley  
adjd summs  
Boutel v Kitson House v Kitson  
House v Millard adjd summs (re-  
tained)  
In re Newport, Goddard & St Law-  
rence Ry Bill adjd summs  
In re Parker Stephenson v Parker  
adjd summs  
In re Salomon Salomon v Salomon  
adjd summs  
In re Hicklin & McGinnis & V & P  
Act, 1874 adjd summs  
In re Barfield Goodman v Child  
adjd summs  
In re Rayden Rayden v Rayden  
adjd summs  
In re Krekow Yeo v Willets  
adjd summs  
In re Plowman Smith v Goodall  
adjd summs  
Hedley v Turnbull m f j (New-  
castle D R) short  
In re Watts Webb v Watts  
adjd summs  
In re Peters Lamborn v Lamborn  
adjd summs  
In re Chenoweth Ward v Dwellay  
adjd summs

Before Mr. Justice BUCKLEY.

Retained by Order.

Action for Trial (with Witnesses).  
Collins v Grinstead act and counter-  
claim (pliff dead)

Causes for Trial (without Witnesses  
and Adjourned Summonses).

Grey v Hinde act (so generally)  
In re Mitchell Iveson v Mitchell  
adjd summs (so May 9, apply to  
restore)

Levy v Davis adjd summs  
In re (Leaton & Worthington, &  
Ry Co adjd summs

In re R Shapland's Settlement  
adjd summs

Montefiore v Guedalla adjd summs  
In re the Companies Act, 1862 to  
1898 and In re A L Elder & Co Ltd  
motion

In re T S Quinn's Trusts adjd  
summs

In re Lake Darvill v Lake adjd  
summs

Staines Reservoirs Joint Committee  
v West Middlesex Water Works  
special case

In re Parry Parry v Clough adjd  
summs

In re Parry Parry v Williams adjd  
summs

In re Redhead Barker v Norman  
adjd summs

In re Wonders Johnson v Wonders  
m f j (short) 2nd short cause day

In re Offin & Brooks' Contract adjd  
summs

In re Wicks Harris v Wicks adjd  
summs

Stanford v Roberts adjd summs  
In re Offiler Offiler v Offiler adjd  
summs

Nixon v H Preston & Co Ltd act  
without pleadings (short)

Hughes v Bennett adjd summs to be  
heard with motn (if motn not  
made summs to heard as motn)

In re Jones Parker v Jones adjd  
summs  
In re Hughes Hughes v Hughes  
adjd summs  
In re Clarke Foster v Clarke adjd  
summs  
In re Swan Marshall v Hunter  
adjd summs  
In re John Carswell Serpell, & Co  
adjd summs  
In re Barron Dryden v Polhill  
Turner adjd summs  
In re Altschiel Altschiel v Smith  
adjd summs  
In re Beverley Watson v Watson  
adjd summs  
In re Taylor & Vickery and V & P  
Act, 1874 adjd summs  
Coward v Cowd m f j (short)  
In re Spicer Spicer v Spicer adjd  
summs  
In re Northeast Chapman v Ansted  
adjd summs  
In re Phillips Phillips v Lawrence  
adjd summs  
In re Walton Cooper v Barnard  
adjd summs  
In re Whistler Martin v Ellis adjd  
summs  
In re Steele Pepperell v Hird adjd  
summs

Further Considerations.

In re Wingham Joyner v Joyner  
fur con (restored)

In re Ashburner Wreford v Crosse  
fur con

In re Griffiths Holmes v Morgan  
fur con

Before Mr. Justice JOYCE.

Retained by Order.

Causes for Trial (with Witnesses).

Coles v Coles action & 3rd party  
notice by defts and anr  
Gorrings v J G Dunn (trading & co)  
action without pleadings

Causes for Trial without Witnesses  
and Adjourned Summonses.

In re Fellows Corker v Darcy  
adjd summs (to come on with "In  
re Fellows Darcy v Corker")

In re The Court Safety Hansom &  
Co & Co's Acts, 1862 to 1890 adjd  
summs (to come on with motn)

In re Farrall & Settled Land &  
Conveyancing Acts & co adjd  
summs

In re J Blundell & Conveyancing  
Act 1881 adjd summs (to come  
on with motn when presented)

Bell v Kennington m f j (Notting-  
ham D R) short

In re The New Zealand & Midland  
Ry Co Smith v Lubbock adjd  
summs (not to come on until after  
appeal, against order, dated April  
6, 1900)

In re Kilgerm Co Ltd & Co's Acts  
adjd summs

In re Maddy Maddy v Maddy  
adjd summs

In re Midgley Midgley v Midgley  
adjd summs

In re Playfair Playfair v Gregory  
adjd summs

Further Considerations.

In re The Borrow-in-Furness  
Tramways Co Ltd Richardson v  
The Company fur con

Wotton v Milman fur con  
Reffell v The Metropolitan Rifle  
Range Co Ltd fur con

# HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.—QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION.

## HILARY SITTINGS, 1901.

JUDGE.	DIVISION.	CASE.	DATE.	TIME.	PLACE.	REMARKS.
PHILLIMORE, BUCKLEY, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"
CHAMBERLAIN, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"
CHAMBERLAIN, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"
DARLING, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"
BIOHAN, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"
RODLEY, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"
KENNEDY, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"
BUCK, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"
WRIGHT, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"
LAWRENCE, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"
GRANTHAM, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"
WILLA, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"
DAY, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"
MATTHEW, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"
LODGE, J.	Div. Court	" " " " " " " " " "	"	"	"	"

N.B.—During the absence on Circuit of Mathew, Kennedy, and Bigham, J.J., the Commercial List will be taken by the Lord Chief Justice of England or Mr. Justice Phillimore. The Business of the Courts will be taken in accordance with the Judges' Resolutions of May 24, 1894. The Judges named to sit in Divisional Court will, whenever it becomes necessary, sit at Nisi Prius.

**WARNING TO INTENDING HOUSE PURCHASERS AND LESSEES.**—Before purchasing or renting a house have the Sanitary Arrangements thoroughly Examined, Tested, and Reported upon by an Expert from The Sanitary Engineering Co. (H. Carter, C.E., Manager), 65, Victoria-street, Westminster. Fee quoted on receipt of full particulars. Established 25 years. Telegrams, "Sanitation," London.—[A.B.V.]

## THE PROPERTY MART.

## SALES OF THE ENSUING WEEK.

Jan. 16.—Mr. ALFRED RICHARDS, at the Mart, at 2: £168,190 Ordinary Stock of the Gas Light and Coke Co. (See advertisement, this week, back page.)  
 Jan. 17.—Messrs H. E. FOSTER & GRANFIELD, at the Mart, at 2 p.m.:—  
**REVERSIONS:**  
 To One-eighth Freeholds and Leaseholds producing £447 per annum; lady aged 61. Solicitors, Messrs. Hawes, Wood & Ware, London.  
 To Two one-eighths of Consols and Freeholds, value £4,000; lady aged 54; provided reversioners, aged 38 and 35, survive her. Solicitor, W. H. Hargraue, Esq., London.  
**REVERSIONARY ANNUITY** of £100, gentleman aged 45, on decease of lady aged 73 with policy. Solicitors, Messrs. Hazard & Pratt, Harleston, Norfolk, and London.  
**LIFE INTEREST** of a gentleman, aged 45, in Freehold Farm in Devon; producing £135 per annum. Solicitors, Messrs. Douglas-Norman & Co., London.  
**REVERSIONARY LIFE INTEREST** in One-half of a Trust Estate, producing £280 per annum; reversioner aged 32; with Policy. Solicitor, Arthur P. Ke, Esq., London.  
**POLICIES:**  
 For £5,000. Solicitors, Messrs. Laycock & Co., Huddersfield.  
 For £3,800, £3,000, £1,248, £1,000, £1,000, £1,000, £1,000, £260. Solicitors, Messrs. Black & Moss, London.  
**SHARES**—Solicitors Messrs. Harston & Bennett, London.  
 (See advertisements, this week, back page.)

## WINDING UP NOTICES

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, JAN. 4.

## JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

## LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

**ANGLO-CHINA, LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)**—Creditors are required, on or before Jan 31, to send their names and addresses, together with full particulars of their debts or claims, to Joseph Henry Jefferys, 6, Old Jewry.  
**AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION, LIMITED**—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 7, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Herbert Meaden Gower, 23, College Hill.  
**BRADFORD PATENT DYING CO., LIMITED (IN VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATION)**—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 14, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Robert Southworth Dawson, 48, Sundridge road, Bradford.  
**BURBANKS GRAND JUNCTION, LIMITED**—Ptn for winding up, presented Jan 1, directed to be heard Jan 16. Maddisons, 6, Old Jewry, solers for petners. Notice of appearing must reach Messrs. Maddisons not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Jan 15.  
**COLONIAL MINING AND GENERAL SYNDICATE, LIMITED**—Creditors are required, on or before Jan 31, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Richard Humphreys, Abchurch chambers, 1, Abchurch yard. Gibbs & Co, 4, Beetham, solers to the liquidator.  
**FLECTION FRESH AGENCY, LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)**—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 15, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to John Douglas Stewart Bogle, 58, Palmerston bldgs, Old Broad st.  
**GLENOCHIL STEAM SHIP CO., LIMITED**—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 15, to send in their names and addresses and the particulars of their debts or claims, to J. Primrose Lindsay, Mercantile chambers, Quayside, Newcastle on Tyne. Ince & Co, Fenchurch st, solers.  
**HANNAH NORTH GOLD MINING CO., LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)**—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 5, to send their names and addresses and particulars of their debts or claims, to Henry St John Hodges, Finsbury House, Blomfield st. Mayo & Co. Drapers' gins, solers to liquidator.  
**IMPERIAL PORTLAND CEMENT CO., LIMITED**—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 14, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts and claims, to Messrs Barclay Howard, William Henry Harris, and William Roberts, 53, New Broad st. Hays & Co, Abchurch ln, solers to liquidators.  
**TAVISTOCK BREWERY COMPANY, LIMITED (IN VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATION)**—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 19, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Elizabeth Mackintosh Sharp, 120, Colmore row, Birmingham.  
**TAT BANK FOUNDERS AND ENGINEERING COMPANY, LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)**—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 5, to send their names and addresses, together with full particulars of their debts or claims, to Frank Dawes, Oldbury, nr Birmingham. Talbot, Kidderminster, solers to liquidators.  
**THORNTON BROTHERS, LIMITED**—Creditors are required, on or before Friday, Feb 15, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts and claims, to William Henry Amlidge, Market pl, Dewsbury.  
**WATKINSONS CONSTRUCTION CO., LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)**—Creditors are required, on or before Jan 19, to send their names and addresses, together with full particulars of their debts or claims, to Constantine Nomico, 17, Victoria st, Westminster.

## FRIENDLY SOCIETIES DISSOLVED.

**FITCHAM FRIENDLY SOCIETY**, Bell Inn, Fitcham, Lynn, Norfolk. Dec 29  
**LIANDOLA FEMALE FRIENDLY SOCIETY**, Liandola, Mold, Flint. Dec 29  
**FRIENDLY SOCIETY OF BROTHERLY LOVE**, Lord Nelson Inn, Redham, Great Yarmouth, Norfolk. Dec 29  
**SEACOMBE VICTORIA PERMANENT FRIENDLY SOCIETY**, United Methodist Chapel, St Paul's rd, Seacombe, Birkenhead, Chester. Dec 28

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, JAN. 8.

## JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

## LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

**BUILDING AND VENDOR CO., LIMITED**—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 15, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to H E Rodwell, 4A, Cockspur st, London.  
**BUNGALOW BUILDING CO., LIMITED**—Ptn for winding up, presented Jan 7, directed to be heard Jan 16. Field & Co, 36, Lincoln's inn fields, for Field & Son, Laminaton, solers for petners. Notice of appearing must reach Field & Co, not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Jan 15.  
**CARDIFF CARRIAGE CO., LIMITED**—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 28, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Wentworth Hyde Price, 21, Hyde st, Cardiff.  
**COUNTY OF LONDON TRAMWAYS SYNDICATE, LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)**—Creditors are required, on or before Jan 31, to send their names and addresses, and particulars of their debts or claims, to T. A. Funnell.  
**DEEPLY VALE CO., LIMITED**—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 19, to send their names and addresses and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Thomas William Hendley 4A, B oth st, Manchester.  
**E. GABRIEL & CO., LIMITED**—Creditors are required, on or before Feb 4, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Henry David Froe-erick Baselow, 7, Union st, Old broad st. Dale & Co. Cornhill, solers to liquidator.  
**FLORA GOLD MINING CO., LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)**—Creditors are required, on or before Jan 31, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts and claims, to Oliver Prescott Macfarlane, 188, Leadenhall st.  
**HARVEY & WILLIAMS, LIMITED**—Ptn for winding up, presented Dec 31, directed to be heard on Jan 16. Beyfus & Beyfus, 60, Lincoln's inn fields, solers for the petners. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than six o'clock in the afternoon of Jan 15.

**MURDOCH'S BRICKWORKS, LIMITED**—Creditors are required, on or before Jan 21, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Charles Hodgkinson, 45, Colmore row, Birmingham. Thomas & Guest, Birmingham, solers to liquidator.

**LIGHT COMEDY OPERA SYNDICATE, LIMITED**—Ptn for winding up, presented Dec 22, directed to be heard on Jan 16. McKenna & Co, 17 and 19, Basinghall st, solers for petners. Notice of appearing must reach the above named not later than six o'clock in the afternoon of Jan 15.

**LUCIA SILVER MINES, LIMITED**—Ptn for winding up, presented Dec 11, directed to be heard Jan 16. Tisdler & Co, 154, Leadenhall st, solers for ptner. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than six o'clock in the afternoon of Feb 15.

**SIDNEY RICHARDSON & CO., LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)**—Creditors are required, on or before Jan 22, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Thomas James Tonks, 1, Dudley rd, Wolverhampton.

**TOWER BREWERY CO., LIMITED**—Creditors are required on or before Feb 19, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Cecil Edward Maples, 14, Cook st, Liverpool. Gill & Co, Liverpool, solers to liquidator.

## FRIENDLY SOCIETIES DISSOLVED.

**CAWTHORNE LABOURERS' PROVIDENT SOCIETY**, School Room, Ivy Dale, Cawthorne Barnsley, York. Dec 29

**COACHMAKERS WORKING MEN'S SOCIETY**, 113, Charlotte st, Fitzroy-square. Jan 3

**FARNBOROUGH BENEFIT SOCIETY**, Parish Schoolroom, Farnborough, Wantage. Dec 28

**LIVERPOOL DOCK RAILWAY AND GENERAL WORKMEN'S TOSTINE SOCIETY**, Archer at Schools, Westminster rd, Kirkdale, Liverpool. Dec 24

**LOYAL STAMFORD FEMALE FRIENDLY SOCIETY**, Odd Fellows' Hall, Unity sq, Broadgate, Lincoln. Dec 22

**MUTUAL ACCIDENT ASSURANCE AND LIFE COLLECTING SOCIETY**, 10, Low Pavement, Nottingham. Jan 2

**MUTUAL BENEFIT ASSOCIATION OF OIL AND COLOURMEN, DRUGGISTS, DAYLALTERS, &c.**, SOCIETY, Salisbury Tavern, Camomile st. Dec 29

**NEWBOLD VERDON AND HARLESTONE UNITED BROTHERS FRIENDLY SOCIETY**, Baptist Chapel Schoolroom, Newbold Verdon, Hinkley, Leicester. Dec 29

**TONGE AND BRIGHTON FRIENDLY BURIAL SOCIETY**, 334, Bury rd, Tonge, Bolton, Lancaster. Dec 28

## CREDITORS' NOTICES.

## UNDER ESTATES IN CHANCERY.

## LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, DEC. 28.

**GREENWOOD, JOHN WILLIAM**, Fleetwood, Lancs. Wine and Spirit Dealer. Jan 26 James Sykes & Co v Greenwood and Lord, Registrar, Preston.  
**IZON, JOHN ALEXANDER**, Nab Sear, Lamington, West Jan 31 Colmore v Alexander, Kekewich, J. Colmore, Birmingham.

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, JAN. 8.

**BECK, ISAAC**, Liverpool, Gent. Feb 9 Beck v Mackay, Registrar, Liverpool. Cornish & Gardner, Liverpool.

## UNDER 22 &amp; 23 VICT. CAP. 35.

## LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, JAN. 4.

**BARTTELLOT, SIR WALTER GEORGE**, Stopham, Sussex. Feb 2 Walters & Co, New sq, Lincoln's Inn.

**BIRCH, MARY**, Bradwall, nr Sandbach, Chester. Feb 28 Stringer, Sandbach.

**BLENKOW, BARBARA SMITH**, Brighton. Feb 10 Howlett & Clarke, Brighton.

**CAMPBELL, MARGARET JESSIE**, Bethworth, Surrey. Feb 9 Pontifex & Co, St Andrew st, Holborn circus.

**CAPICA, JOHN PESHMAN**, Redcross st, Ostrich Feather Merchant. Feb 1 Harris & Co, Coleman st.

**CHADWICK, RICHARD HARTLEY**, Shipley, Yorks, Saddler. March 1 Cousins & Cousins, Leeds.

**CLEGG, SAMUEL**, Oldham, Accountant. Feb 15 Ascroft & Man, Oldham.

**DUGGLES, GEORGE ALFRED PAUL**, Bristol, Manufacturers' Agent. Feb 2 Finch & Turner, Ludlow st.

**ELLIS JOHN**, Leeds, Plumbers' Merchant. Jan 11 Scattergood & Co, Leeds.

**ENGLISH, CAROLINE**, Hemel Hempstead, Hertford. Feb 16 Hays & Co, Abchurch ln.

**FOX, MARY ELLEN**, Halifax. Feb 5 shoesmith, Halifax.

**GRANGER, JANE**, Worthing. Feb 5 Burn & Berridge, Old Broad st.

**HAIIGH, ALLEN**, Huddersfield, Hatter. Feb 14 Hamden & Co, Huddersfield.

**HARWAR, HENRY PERCIVAL**, Maude rd, Camberwell. Feb 16 Hammond & Richards, Lincoln's inn fields.

**JONES, EDWARD POWELL**, and MARY JONES, Llansgollen, Denbigh, Grocers. Feb 4 Minshall & Co, Llansgollen.

**KEMPTNER, ELIZABETH**, Bedale, York. Feb 9 Pettifer & Parkin, College hill.

**KING, ELIZABETH**, Stratford upon Avon. Feb 15 Slater & Co, Stratford upon Avon.

**LEVER, THOMAS**, Bolton. Feb 18 R & F H Taylor, Bolton.

**LODGE, JOHN**, Littleborough, Lancs. Feb 20 Brierley & Hudson, Rixdale.

**MARCH, WILLIAM**, Newark upon Trent. Feb 1 Hodgkinson & Beavor, Newark upon Trent.

**MCGRATH, MARY LOUISE**, Brighton. Feb 10 Howlett & Clarke, Brighton.

**MOKROVSKI, COURT ANTHONY ANDREW**, Chiddingfold, Sussex. Jan 31 Listerman & Br., Chiddingfold.

**PATMORE, PHILIP**, Crickwall, Essex. Feb 14 Woolson & Langton, Southend on Sea.

**POOLE, WILLIAM**, Congleton, Chester. Jan 30 Latham, Congleton.

**RILEY, SAMUEL HENRY**, Birmingham. Feb 28 Stanbury-Erdley, Birmingham.

**SHAW, HENRY**, Buxton, Derby. Feb 18 Bennett & Co, Buxton.

**SHIPP, HANNAH**, Iron Acton, Glouce. Feb 1 Bush & Sons, Bristol.

**SOMERSET WILLIAM ALEXANDER**, of James st. Feb 6 Mayo & Co, Drapers' gins.

**TAYLOR, HARRIET**, Bradford, Beerhouse keeper. Jan 31 Freeman, Bradford.

**TAYLOR, SARAH**, Oldham. Feb 2 Knott, Oldham.

**THOMPSON, HENRY HALFORD**, Sunderland. Feb 1 Kidson & Co, Sunderland.

**THORPE, JAMES**, Long Eaton, Derby. Butcher. March 6 Jones & Middleton, Chesterfield.

**TORR, WILLIAM**, Brassington, Derby. Feb 15 Holland & Rugby, Ashborne.

**TURNER, WILLIAM, DUDLEY**. Feb 4 Barradale, Dudley.

**WATKINS, ISABELLA ELIZABETH**, Elton, nr Bishop Auckland. Jan 25 Jennings, Bishop Auckland.

**WILLIAMS, WILLIAM**, Llanarth, nr Raglan, Mon. Feb 4 Le Brasseur & Bowen, Newport.

**WILLIAMSON, JOHN**, Greatham, Durham. Farmer. Jan 15 Harrison & Barker, West

**WILSON, CHARLES BOWMAN**, Liverpool, Surgeon. Feb 1 Lacey & Co, Liverpool.

**WINSON, WILLIAM**, Ducklington, nr Chesterfield. Jan 31 Stone & Symonds, Winksworth.

**WINTLE, LAURA ANN**, Bath. Feb 14 Stone & Co, Bath.

**WRIGHT, ROBERT**, Southend on Sea, Stomason. Feb 14 Todd & Co, Southend on Sea.

**WHY PAY RENT?—A Mortgage Policy** is offered by the SCOTTISH TEMPERANCE LIFE OFFICE over approved House Property, repayable by half yearly instalments, which may be less than the rent. A great feature is that in event of death, the house becomes entirely free for the family. Mortgage expenses borne by the Company. Full prospectuses, etc., at London Office, 96, Queen-street, Cheapside.—[ADVT.]

## BANKRUPTCY NOTICES.

London Gazette.—Friday, Jan. 4.

## RECEIVING ORDERS.

ATKINS, WILLIAM HENRY, Nottingham Nottingham Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 BARNBY, JOHN, Leeds Leeds Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 BENSON, JOSEPH HALL, Morley, York, Commission Agent Dewsbury Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 BRIGGS, WALTER, and THOMAS ASLEY COOPER, Bradford Bradford Pet Dec 31 Ord Jan 1  
 BROWN, JAMES, Narborough, Norfolk, Farmer King's Lynn Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 BURKIDGE, WALTER, Marden, Kent, Butcher's Assistant Maidstone Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 DAVIES, DAVID, Dewsbury, Yorks, Travelling Draper Wakefield Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 DAVIES, DAVID, Aberaman, Aberdare, China Dealer Aberdare Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 DAVIES, ESEK, Llanegwad, Labourer Carmarthen Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 ESTWICK, FRED, Accrington, Builder Blackburn Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 FRANCIS, HERBERT LEWELLYN, Pontnewydd, Mon, Grocer Newport, Mon Pet Dec 17 Ord Dec 31  
 FUSSELL, THOMAS, Bristol, Butcher Bristol Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 GAMAGE, THOMAS, Blackburn, Auctioneer Blackburn Pet Dec 8 Ord Dec 31  
 HANKE, JOHN, Walsingham, Notts, Farmer Lincoln Pet Dec 22 Ord Dec 31  
 HICKS, WILLIAM HENRY, Paxton, Somerset, Farmer Bridgwater Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 HOWARD T C, Knighton, Radnor, Carpenter Leominster Pet Dec 14 Ord Dec 31  
 HUDSON, ARTHUR, Bradford, Electrical Commission Agent Bradford Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 HUGHES, JOHN, Southend on Sea, Nurseryman Chelmsford Pet Dec 23 Ord Dec 29  
 JENNINGS, THOMAS, Waltham, nr Great Grimsby, Market Gardener Great Grimsby Pet Jan 2 Ord Jan 2  
 JONES, WILLIAM EDWARD, Enfield, Butcher Edmonton Pet Dec 8 Ord Dec 31  
 KEMP, JOHN, and ARTHUR KEMP, Batley, York, Florists Dewsbury Pet Jan 2 Ord Jan 2  
 LITTLEWOOD, JOHN THOMAS, Dewsbury, York, Commercial Traveller Huddersfield Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 MITCHELL, WILLIAM, Roswick Mills, Norfolk, Miller Norwich Pet Dec 31 Ord Jan 2  
 MITCHELL, THOMAS, Leeds, Game Dealer Leeds Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 NORMIDGE, WILLIAM HENRY, and GEORGE ALBERT NORMIDGE, Lincoln's Inn Fields, Solicitors High Court Pet Oct 30 Ord Jan 2  
 NUTWICK THOMAS, Bradford, Plumber Bradford Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 OXFORD, JOHN, Merthyr Tydfil, Tailor Merthyr Tydfil Pet Jan 2 Ord Jan 2  
 OLDHAM, SAMUEL, Leeds, Fishmonger Leeds Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 POOLE, JOHN HENRY, Leicester, Baker Leicester Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 RICHMAN, FREDERICK AUGUST, and FREDERICK GOTTLIEB KONIG, Old Broad st, Foreign Bankers High Court Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 ROSA, A D, & Co, Manchester, Merchants' Agents Manchester Pet Nov 29 Ord Dec 20  
 STONEBRIDGE, ARTHUR WATSON, Portland, Civil Engineer Dorchester Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 SYMON, STREET, Marmouth, Baker Newport Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 WASSERBERG, JACOB, Harringay, Working Jeweller High Court Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 WHITEHEAD, PERCY, Halton, York, Turf Commission Agent Leeds Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 WHITEWAY, JOSEPH, Torquay, Dairyman Exeter Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 WIGGLESWORTH, GEORGE WALSH, Skipton, Yorks Bradford Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 WILLIAMS, HERBERT, Holywell, Flint, Cycle Dealer Chester Pet Dec 14 Ord Dec 31  
 WILSON, GEORGE SHERIFF, Chiswick, Commercial Traveller Brentford Pet Dec 29 Ord Dec 29

## FIRST MEETINGS.

BARNBY, JOHN, Leeds Jan 15 at 11 Off Rec, 22, Park 1 w, Leeds  
 BENNETT, RICHARD, Queen Victoria st, Commission Agent Jan 15 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st  
 BRIGGS, WALTER, and THOMAS ASLEY COOPER, Bradford Jan 15 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford  
 BROWN, JAMES, Narborough, Norfolk, Farmer Jan 11 at 11 Off Rec, 23, King Edward st, Macclesfield  
 BETAN, ALFRED, Barrow in Furness, Joiner Jan 18 at 12.45 Off Rec, 16, Cornhill st, Barrow in Furness  
 BURKIDGE, WALTER, Marden, Kent, Butcher's Assistant Jan 16 at 11 Off Rec, 9, King st, Maidstone  
 CLAYTON, SIMON, Ulverston, Labourer Jan 15 at 11.15 Off Rec, 16, Cornhill st, Barrow in Furness  
 DAVIES, JAMES, Swansea, Coal Merchant Jan 11 at 11.30 Off Rec, 31, Alexandria rd, Swansea  
 FARRAWAY, EVELYN JOHN, Bedford Jan 11 at 12 Off Rec, Bridge st, Northampton  
 FOSTER, WILLIAM ARTHUR, Otley, York, Watchmaker Jan 15 at 12 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds  
 FULLER, FREDERICK GEORGE, Baywater, Financier Jan 16 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st  
 GRABHAM WILLIAM M R, Great Russell st, Publisher Jan 11 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st  
 HALLITT, FRANK VICTOR, Grocer West Kensington Jan 16 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st  
 HARLAND, J H, Yewsey, Builder Jan 11 at 12.30, Temple chamber, Temple st  
 HUDSON, ARTHUR, Bradford, Agent Jan 15 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford  
 HUGHES, FREDERICK, St Austell, Cornwall, Mason Jan 12 at 11 Off Rec, Broadway st, Truro  
 JAMES, THOMAS, Mile End rd, Boot Dealer Jan 11 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

JONES, Mr, Ludgate hill Jan 15 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st  
 LACEY, GEORGE ABRAHAM, Luton, Bedford, Timber Dealer Jan 11 at 12.30 Off Rec, Bridge st, Northampton  
 LIDZET, HORACE, and JOHN EVANS, Birmingham, Builders Jan 14 at 11 174, Corporation st, Birmingham  
 LOMAX JOSEPH, Bury, Labourer Jan 14 at 11 Off Rec, Exchange st, Bolton  
 LONG, JAMES, Beckenham, Kent, Coachbuilder Jan 11 at 12.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge  
 LOCKIN, WILLIAM, Hardham, Sussex, Farmer Jan 14 at 3 H J Burt, 2, High st, Steyning, Auctioneer  
 MITCHELL, THOMAS, Leeds, Game Dealer Jan 16 at 11.30 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds  
 NUTWICK THOMAS, Bradford, Plumber Jan 16 at 12 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford  
 OLDHAM, SAMUEL, Leeds, Fishmonger Jan 16 at 11 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds  
 PERKINS, SAMUEL, 61, Cornhill st, Bloomsbury, Tobaccoist Jan 11 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st  
 BAIRD, HAROLD, Morecambe, Lancs Jan 11 at 3 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston  
 REES JOHN, Llanesmet, Glam, Collier Jan 11 at 12 Off Rec, 31, Alexandria rd, Swansea  
 RHINE, BENJAMIN LOUIS, Duchess st, Portland pl Jan 17 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st  
 ROBERTS, ELIZABETH EMMA, Brewer st, St James's, Licensed Victualler Jan 16 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st  
 SMART, ROBERT RISPIN, Holme on Spalding Moor, Farmer Jan 11 at 11 Off Rec, Trinity House ln, Hull  
 SMITH, FRANK, Southampton, Sewing Machine Factor Jan 16 at 3 Off Rec, 173, High st, Southampton  
 TERRY, WILLIAM HENRY, Ashford, Plumber Jan 11 at 11.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge  
 WALDEN, ARTHUR CHARLES, Northampton Jan 11 at 11.30 Off Rec, 15, Bedford st, Northampton  
 WALKER, GEORGE, Jewic cres, Agent Jan 16 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st  
 WALKER, J, Hove, Sussex, Clerk Jan 17 at 10.30 Off Rec, 4, Pavilion bldgs, Brighton  
 WHITEHEAD, PERCY, Halton, York, Turf Commission Agent Jan 16 at 11.30 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds  
 WHITEWAY, JOSEPH, Torquay, Dairyman Jan 24 at 10.30 Off Rec, 15, Bedford st, Northampton  
 WIGGLESWORTH, GEORGE WALSH, Skipton, Yorks Jan 16 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford  
 WILSON, GEORGE SHERIFF, Chiswick, Commercial Traveller Jan 12 at 11 Off Rec, 95, Temple chamber, Temple av

## ADJUDICATIONS.

ATKINS, WILLIAM HENRY, Nottingham Nottingham Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 BARNBY, JOHN, Leeds Leeds Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 BENNETT, GEORGE, Kensington High Court Pet Oct 9 Ord Dec 31  
 BENSON, JOSEPH HALL, Morley, York, Commission Agent Dewsbury Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 BLUMENTHAL, LOUIS, Liverpool, Wholesale Clothier Liverpool Pet Nov 31 Ord Jan 2  
 BOUND, BENJAMIN, Llandrindod wells, Radnor, Carpenter Newtown Pet Dec 22 Ord Dec 31  
 BROWN, JAMES, Narborough, Norfolk, Farmer King's Lynn Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 BURKIDGE, WALTER, Marden, Kent, Butcher's Assistant Maidstone Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 DAVIES, DAVID, Wakefield, Travelling Draper Wakefield Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 DAVIES, DAVID, Aberaman, Aberdare, China Dealer Aberdare Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 DAVIES, ESEK, Llanegwad, Carmarthen Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 DICK, ANDREW ELDER, Leadenhall st, Marine Insurance Agents High Court Pet Nov 2 Ord Jan 1  
 ESTWICK, FRED, Accrington, Builder Blackburn Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 FRANCIS, HERBERT LEWELLYN, Pontnewydd, Mon, Grocer Newport, Mon Pet Dec 17 Ord Jan 1  
 FUSSELL, THOMAS, Bristol, Butcher Bristol Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 2  
 HANKE, JOHN, Walsingham, Nottingham, Farmer Lincoln Pet Dec 22 Ord Dec 31  
 HICKS, WILLIAM HENRY, Paxton, Somerset, Farmer Bridgwater Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 HUGHES, JOHN, Southend on Sea, Nurseryman Chelmsford Pet Dec 23 Ord Jan 1  
 JENNINGS, THOMAS, Waltham, nr Great Grimsby, Market Gardener Great Grimsby Pet Jan 2 Ord Jan 2  
 KEMP, JOHN, and ARTHUR KEMP, Batley, York, Florists Dewsbury Pet Jan 2 Ord Jan 2  
 LACEY, GEORGE ABRAHAM, Luton, Bedford, Timber Dealer Luton Pet Dec 22 Ord Jan 1  
 LITTLEWOOD, JOHN THOMAS, Dewsbury, York, Commercial Traveller Huddersfield Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 LONG, JAMES, Beckenham, Kent, Coachbuilder Croydon Pet Dec 21 Ord Dec 29  
 LOCKIN, WILLIAM, Hardham, Sussex, Farmer Brighton Pet Dec 29 Ord Dec 29  
 MITCHELL, THOMAS, Leeds, Game Dealer Leeds Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 NUTWICK THOMAS, Bradford, Plumber Bradford Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 OXFORD, JOHN, Merthyr Tydfil, Tailor Merthyr Tydfil Pet Jan 2 Ord Jan 2  
 OLDHAM, SAMUEL, Leeds, Fishmonger Leeds Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 PERKINS, JOSEPH, New Cross, Kent, Tailor Greenwich Pet Dec 21 Ord Jan 1  
 POOLE, JOHN HENRY, Leicester, Baker Leicester Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 POTTER, HARRY THOMAS, Croydon, Grocer Croydon Pet Nov 31 Ord Dec 22  
 POTTS, ELIZABETH, Gorleston, Norfolk St Yarmouth Pet Nov 14 Ord Dec 31  
 RICHARDS, WILLIAM RAYNER, Radnor, Butcher Newtown Pet Dec 22 Ord Dec 31  
 ROBERTS, R E, Cadogan, Kent, Builder Greenwich Pet Nov 31 Ord Jan 1

SYMON, HUBERT, Monnow, Baker Newport, Mon Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 TERRY, WILLIAM HENRY, Ashford, Plumber Kingston, Surrey Pet Nov 22 Ord Dec 29  
 WASSERBERG, JACOB, Harringay, Working Jeweller High Court Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 WHITEHEAD, PERCY, Halton, York, Turf Commission Agent Leeds Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 WHITEWAY, JOSEPH, Torquay, Dairyman Exeter Pet Dec 31 Ord Dec 31  
 WIGGLESWORTH, GEORGE WALSH, Skipton, Yorks Bradford Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 WILLIAMS, HERBERT, Holywell, Flint, Cycle Dealer Chester Pet Dec 14 Ord Jan 2  
 WILSON, GEORGE SHERIFF, Chiswick, Commercial Traveller Brentford Pet Dec 29 Ord Jan 1

London Gazette.—Tuesday, Jan. 8.

## RECEIVING ORDERS.

ALBORN, JOSEPH, Stockton on Tees, Fruiturer Stockton on Tees Pet Jan 2 Ord Jan 2  
 BALMAIN, DAVID, and JOHN BALMAIN, Newcastle on Tyne, Builders Newcastle on Tyne Pet Dec 31 Ord Jan 4  
 BARNES, GEORGE, Newark on Trent, Upholsterer Nottingham Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3  
 BAYTHORPE, GEORGE, Manchester, Joiner Manchester Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4  
 BROWN, HERBERT EDWARD, Cooby, Leicesters, Carter Leicester Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3  
 CARTWRIGHT JAMES HENRY, Woodside, Wolverhampton, Carter Dudley Pet Jan 1 Ord Jan 1  
 DAVIS, EMMA, Southsea, Hants, Lodging house Keeper Portsmouth Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3  
 DEANS, ROBERT, Irby, nr Winton, Cumberland, Butcher Carlisle Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4  
 DOUGLAS-WILLAN, ROBERT, Clifton, Bristol Bristol Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4  
 EDWARDS, JOHN RUSSELL, Monk Soham, Suffolk, Farmer Ipswich Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3  
 EVANS, ALBERTUR, Cardiff, Cycle Maker Cardiff Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3  
 FIELDER, JAMES LEOPOLD, Angel col, Throgmorton st, Accountant High Court Pet Nov 24 Ord Jan 4  
 FORD, RICHARD WILLIAM, Richmond, Bootmaker Wandsworth Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3  
 GUY, WILLIAM ALFRED, Boxhill on Sea, Painter Hastings Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4  
 LAKE, ALBERT STILL, Croydon, Grocer Croydon Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4  
 LEWIS, THOMAS, Nantyglo, Mon, Collier Tredegar Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4  
 MACDONALD, ALFRED, Sparkhill, Worcester, Caster Birmingham Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5  
 MARCO, MOTEL, Hanbury st, Spitalfields, Gashitter High Court Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4  
 MARSHALL, RICHARD ERNEST, Leicester, Music Hall Proprietor Leicester Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5  
 MAWBY, ROBERT, OSBORN, Chesham, Surrey, Farmer Croydon Pet Jan 2 Ord Jan 2  
 MUGGERIDGE, SIDNEY, Clapham Park rd, Architect Wandsworth Pet Nov 22 Ord Jan 3  
 PALMER, JOSEPH GLENY, Sunbury, Traveller Kingston, Surrey Pet Nov 27 Ord Jan 3  
 PARKER, ANTHONY EDWARD, Horrell, Surrey, Farmer Kingston, Surrey Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3  
 PHILLIPS, WILLIAM, Ammanford, Carmarthen Carmarthen Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5  
 POWELL, JOSEPH, Leeds, Builder Leeds Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4  
 ROBERTS, RICHARD JOHN, and CHARLES WILLIAM ROBERTS, Deal, Kent, Furniture Dealers Canterbury Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3  
 SANDERS, WILLIAM GROVE, Birmingham, Greengrocer Birmingham Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3  
 SILLETT, NELSON, Norwich, Jobmaster Norwich Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4  
 SMITH, HARRY WILLIAM, Ealing, Builder Brentford Pet Dec 12 Ord Dec 31  
 SMITH, WILLIAM, Darlington, Licensed Victualler Stockton on Tees Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3  
 STEVENS, JOHN, Reading, Berks, Licensed Victualler Reading Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3  
 STUDDOLME, EDWARD, Smethwick, Stafford, Builder West Bromwich Pet Dec 22 Ord Jan 3  
 THOMAS, MORRIS, Pentrevelas, Denbigh, Shoemaker Portmadoc Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5  
 TYRRELL, WILLIAM HERBERT, Luton, Bedford, Straw Hat Manufacturer Luton Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5  
 WHITE, WILLIAM, Walsand, Northumberland, Boot Dealer Newcastle on Tyne Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4  
 WHITFIELD, FREDERICK JAMES, Oxford, Tailor Oxford Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5  
 WILLIAMS, DAVID, Denbigh, Grocer Bangor Pet Dec 17 Ord Jan 4

## FIRST MEETINGS.

BEE, THOMAS, Small Heath, Birmingham, Timber Merchant Jan 17 at 11.30 174, Corporation st, Birmingham  
 BENSON, JOSEPH HALL, Morley, York, Commission Agent Jan 17 at 11 Off Rec, Bank chambers, Batley  
 BOW, ABRAHAM, Ambleside, Westmorland, Journeyman Wadler Jan 19 at 11 Grosvenor Hotel, Stramington, Kendal  
 BROCKBANK, ROBERT, Hannakin, Lancs, Joiner Jan 19 at 11.30 Grosvenor Hotel, Stramington, Kendal  
 COWARD, CHARLES, Putney, Builder Jan 17 at 11.30 24, Railway app, Lond n Bridge  
 DAVIES, DAVID, Wakefield, Travelling Draper Jan 15 at 11 Off Rec, 8, Bond st, Wakefield  
 DAVIES, ESEK, Llanegwad, Carmarthen Jan 16 at 10.30 Off Rec, 4, Queen st, Carmarthen  
 DAVIS, ANELIA EMMA, Southsea, Hants, Lodging house Keeper Jan 18 at 3 Off Rec, Cambridge junc, High st Portsmouth  
 DAWSON, JOSEPH, Buxton, Tailor Jan 18 at 11.45 Off Rec, Cornuym chambers, Market pl, Stockport  
 ENTWISTLE, FRED, Accrington, Builder Jan 15 at 11 Commercial Hotel, Accrington  
 EVANS, ALBERTUR, Cardiff, Cycle Maker Jan 18 at 11 117, St Mary st, Cardiff

MARCO, MORRIS, Hanbury st. Spitalfields, Gasfitter High Court Pet Jan 5  
MARSHALL, RICHARD EMMETT, Leicester, Music Hall Proprietor Leicester Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5  
MAWBY, ROBERT OSBORN, Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk, Farmer Croxford Pet Jan 2 Ord Jan 5  
MERRINGTON, WILLIAM, Kewick Mills, Norfolk, Miller Norwich Pet Dec 50 Ord Jan 4  
MORFITT, THOMAS, Blackpool, Joiner Preston Pet Dec 10 Ord Jan 5  
NIVEN, JAMES, and THOMAS EDWARD PAINTON JONES, Welshpool, Tailors Newtown Pet Dec 1 Ord Jan 6  
PARKER, ANTHONY EDWARD, Horsey, Surrey, Farmer Kingston, Surrey Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 3  
PHILLIPS, WILLIAM, Ammanford, Carmarthen Carmarthen Pet Jan 3 Ord Jan 5  
POWELL, JOSEPH, Leeds, Builder Leeds Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4  
ROUTH, WILLIAM, Temple house Temple av High Court Pet Nov 80 Ord Jan 5  
SAVERY, EDWIN, St James's ct. Buckingham Gate, Restaurant Keeper High Court Pet Nov 34 Ord Jan 5  
SHAW, HERBERT, Oldham, Merchant Tailor Oldham Pet Feb 29 Ord Jan 5  
SILLINGTON, NELSON, Norwich, Job Master Norwich Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4  
SMART, HARRY WILLIAM, Ealing, Builder Brentford Pet Dec 18 Ord Jan 2  
SMITH, WILLIAM, Dartington, Licensed Victualler Stockport Jan 3 Ord Jan 3  
STEVENS, JOHN, Fensdike, Licensed Victualler Reading Pet Jan 8 Ord Jan 8  
THOMAS, MORRIS, Pentreveloc, Dembig, Shoemaker Portmadoc Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5  
TILL, WILLIAM ARNRY, and HARRY MOSE, Deptford, Butchers High Court Pet Nov 23 Ord Jan 3  
WALKER, J, Hove, Sussex, Clerk Brighton Ord Jan 3  
WALTON, ANNESE, Marple, Cheshire, Chemist Stockport Pet Dec 5 Ord Jan 5  
WHITE, WILLIAM, Wilford, Nottinghamland, Boot Dealer Newcastle ct Tyne Pet Jan 4 Ord Jan 4  
WHITFIELD, FREDERICK JAMES, Oxford, Tailor Oxford Pet Jan 5 Ord Jan 5

*Where difficulty is experienced in procuring the Journal with regularity, it is requested that application be made direct to the Publisher.*

### TUTORS.

For those who have passed the Intermediate Examination instruction is

**TERMS.**

Articles (Students may obtain particulars of such Lectures and Classes, and vouchers for Tickets, upon application to the SECRETARY of the incorporated Law Society. Cheques and Post Office Orders should be made payable to the SECRETARY, and crossed "Messrs. BASCHAY & Co., Limited." Law Society's Hall, Chancery-lane. June, 1898.

**SOME CHEAP REPORTS.**

LAW REPORTS (THE), 1876 to 1892 inclusive and complete; 141 vols., cloth (Statutes half-calf). **£32.**  
 —, 1890 to 1897 inclusive and complete, with Statutes; 62 vols., full calf. **£26.**  
 LAW JOURNAL REPORTS, 1893 to 1901, with Magistrate's Cases, &c.; 59 vols., half-calf (Digests in boards); sound condition, but binding somewhat shelf-worn. **£22.**

REVISED REPORTS (THE), vols. 1 to 47, half-calf (an original subscription entitling the holder to obtain the future vols. at 23s. each instead of 28s. net). **£40.**

**WILBY & SONS.**

LINCOLN'S-INN ARCHWAY, CARRY-STREET, LONDON, W.C.

TENTH IMPRESSION (REVISED AND CORRECTED, 1898).

8vo. Price 18s.

**THE INSTITUTES OF JUSTINIAN.**

With English Introduction, Translation, and Notes.

By the late **THOMAS COLLETT SANDARS, M.A.,**  
 Barrister-at-Law.

LONDON: LONGMANS, GREEN, & CO.

Now ready, price 3s. 6d. net.

**FIRST ELEMENTS OF PROCEDURE**

By **T. BATY, Barrister-at-Law.**

"We would heartily recommend the book to the notice of all persons having to do with the arranging of the legal curriculum of the Universities. It is admirably suited for insertion in the first year's legal instruction."  
 Irish Law Times.

LONDON: EFFINGHAM WILSON, ROYAL EXCHANGE.

**ST. THOMAS'S HOSPITAL, S.E.,****NEEDS HELP.**

**J. G. WAINWRIGHT, Treasurer.**

**LAW.**—Solicitor (22; unadmitted) Desires Situation; well experienced in general work of solicitor's office, including Common Law and Chancery Practice and Conveyancing; energetic; of good address; excellent references; salary—town, £100; country, as arranged.—Apply, J., Housekeeper, 9, Ironmonger-lane, E.C.

**AN** Opening for a Young Solicitor with small means in a Lancashire town; part time only required.—Apply, J., care of "Solicitors' Journal," 27, Chancery-lane, W.C.

**RE-ENGAGEMENT** Wanted (London or Home County), by expert Stenographer, for Office Work or Law or Press Reporting; 300 words per minute; first-class references; salary moderate, but according to requirements, which please state.—REPORTER, 762, Romford-road, Manor Park, E.S.

**BENJAMIN LEVY**, otherwise Benjamin Samuel Levy, Deceased.—Any Solicitor who has made a Will, or any other person who holds a Will of Benjamin Levy, otherwise Benjamin Samuel Levy, late of 8, Houghton-place, Amptill-square, London, is requested to communicate with A. X., care of Warners, Law Stationers, Bell-yard, E.C.

**YOUNG** Lady Typist Seeks Engagement in Solicitor's Office; good experience of legal work; knowledge of Shorthand; expert and reliable; good references.—Apply, M. F., 167, Graham-road, Dalston, N.E.

**TO TRUSTEES** and Insurance Companies.—Freehold West End Business Premises, close to the Haymarket, let on full repairing lease for 40 years to one of the best known London firms of perfumers at £280 per annum; price £18,500.—Full particulars may be obtained from the only Agents, **MAY & BOWDEN**, 29, Maddox-street, W.

**LEASEHOLD** Ground-rent of £67 per annum net for 43 years and reversion to rack-rents of £800 per annum at expiration for 73 years; price £1,750.—Apply, Messrs. **HARMAN BROS.**, 25, Ironmonger-lane, Cheapside, E.C.

**SOLICITORS, MORTGAGEES, and Others.**—**M. DAVIS**, 40, Leadbroke-grove, London, is always Prepared with Cash to Purchase every description of Property, in any state of repair or position in London, or within 40 miles; introductory fees if arranged in advance.

**SOLICITORS, Mortgagees, Trustees, and Owners** generally of Freehold or Leasehold Properties for Sale in Town or Country can find an immediate Purchaser by sending full particulars to **ADVERTISER**, 45, Finsbury-road, London, E. Condition as to repair immaterial.

**CITY OF SHEFFIELD.—LOANS.—The**

Corporation of Sheffield are engaged in very considerable extensions of their Tramway System (Electric), Electric Light and Power Undertaking, and Waterworks and Reservoirs, each one of the undertakings being remunerative, yielding profit after bearing all interest and Sinking Fund charges. The Corporation are also engaged in a scheme of Street Improvements, demolition in insanitary areas, and erection of workmen's dwellings thereon. Capital for these purposes is required, and the Corporation are prepared to receive offers of Loans of £100 and upwards on the security of the revenues of one or other of the undertakings, and of the District Rate, or on the District Rate solely, for periods not exceeding two years or subject to six months' notice. Mortgages being prepared free of charge; interest payable half-yearly, 1st March and 1st September.—Offers to be addressed to the CITY ACCOUNTANT and REGISTRAR, Town Hall, Sheffield.

**THE COMPANIES ACTS, 1862 TO 1900.**

BY



AUTHORITY.

Every requisite under the above Acts supplied on the shortest notice.

The BOOKS and FORMS kept in Stock for immediate use.  
 SHARE CERTIFICATES, DEBENTURES, CHEQUES, &c., engraved and printed. OFFICIAL SEALS designed and executed.

**Solicitors' Account Books.****RICHARD FLINT & CO.,**

Stationers, Printers, Engravers, Registration Agents,  
 49, FLEET-STREET, LONDON, E.C. (corner of Serjeants'-inn).

Annual and other Returns Stamped and Filed.

Now Ready. Second Edition. Price 5s.

A PRACTICAL HANDBOOK TO THE COMPANIES ACTS.  
 By FRANCIS J. GREEN, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law.

**TREATMENT OF INEBRIETY.****DALRYMPLE HOME.**

RICKMANSWORTH, HERTS.

For Gentlemen, under the Act and privately.

For Terms, &c., apply to

F. B. D. HOGG.

Medical Superintendent.

**INEBRIETY.****MELBOURNE HOUSE, LEICESTER.**

PRIVATE HOME FOR LADIES.

Medical Attendant: J. HEADLEY NEALE, M.B., M.R.C.P. Lond. Principal: H. M. RILEY, Assoc. Soc. Study of Inebriety. Thirty years' Experience. Excellent Legal and Medical References. For terms and particulars apply Miss RILEY, or the Principal.

## BRAND & CO'S SPECIALTIES FOR INVALIDS.

Prepared from finest ENGLISH MEATS

**ESSENCE OF BEEF,  
 BEEF TEA,  
 MEAT JUICE, &c.,**

Of all Chemists and Grocers.

**BRAND & CO., LTD., MAYFAIR, W., & MAYFAIR WORKS, VAUXHALL, LONDON, S.W.**

**THE MOST NUTRITIOUS.**

**E P P S'S**  
 GRATEFUL-COMFORTING.  
**C O C O A**

BREAKFAST-SUPPER.

**THEATRES.****AVENUE.**

THIS EVENING, at 8.45, A MESSAGE FROM MARS: Messrs. Arthur Williams, G. S. Titherage, Nye Chart, Grahame, E. W. Tarver, Widdicombe, Lynton Lyle, L. Groomsmith; Miss Bella Pateman, Miss Hilda Hanbury, Miss Lydia Rachel, Miss Olive Bashford, Miss Emily Spiller, Miss Sinclair, Miss Jessie Bateman. At 8, A PREVIOUS ENGAGEMENT.

**DALY'S.**

THIS EVENING, at 8.15, SAN TOY: Messrs. Huntley Wright, Fred Kaye, Colin Coop, Scott Russell, Akerman Way, Hickman, Rutland Barrington; Misses. Hilda Moody, Grace Leigh, Louise Collier, Morel, Seymour, Edwardine, Collette, Howell, Roche, Francis, Allen, Topsy Sinden, Florence Collingbourne, Mr. Hayden Coffin.

**HAYMARKET.**

THIS EVENING at 8.30, THE SECOND IN COMMAND: Mr. Cyril Maude, Mr. Allan Ayneworth, Mr. A. Vane-Tempest, Mr. Herbert Slesch, Mr. Clarence Blakiston, Mr. G. M. Graham, Mr. H. G. Oughterson, Mr. G. A. Trollope, Mr. Wilfred Forster, Miss Sybil Carlisle, Miss Fanny Coleman, Miss Muriel Beaumont.

**PRINCE OF WALES.**

THIS EVENING, at 8.30, ENGLISH NELL: Messrs. Frank Cooper, Ben Webster, H. B. Warner, Fuller Mellish, George Raimond, Edward O'Neill, Granville Barker, &c.; Mesdames Mabel Terry-Lewis, A. Sothorn, Maude Danks, Henrietta Leverett, and Marie Tempest.

**SAVOY.**

R. D'Oyly Carte, Manager.

THIS EVENING, at 8.30, PATIENCE: Messrs. Walter Pasmore, H. A. Lytton, Jones Hewson, W. H. Leon, and Robert Evett; Misses. Isabel Jay, Gaston Murray, Agnes Fraser, Lulu Evans, and Rosina Brandram. At 8, PRETTY POLLY: Miss Louise Pounds and Robert Evett.

**SHAFTESBURY.**

Mr. Geo. W. Lederer's Season.

TO-NIGHT, at 8.15, Matinee Saturday, at 2.30, Mr. Geo. Lederer's American Company in the successful Musical Comedy, THE CASINO GIRL. The book by Harry B. Smith. The music by Ludwig Engländer.

**STRAND.**

THIS EVENING, at 8, IN THE SOUP: Preceded at 8.15 by PUNCHURED: Messrs. William Wyer, John Beauchamp, Victor Widdicombe, Harry Farmer, George Mudia, jun., E. F. Saxon, and James Welch; Mesdames Carry Cronyn, R. Edgar, Audrey Ford, Maria Saker, &c.

**TERRY'S.**

Sole Proprietor, Mr. Edward Terry.

Under the Management of Mr. E. H. Bull.

THIS EVENING, at 8.15, THE THIRTY THIEVES: Messrs. Charles Groves, Aubrey Fitzgerald, Sidney Howard, E. Darnall, W. B. Shirley; Mesdames Fattie Browne, and Florence Perry.

**VAUDEVILLE.**

Lessee and Managers, A. and S. Gatti.

TWICE DAILY, at 2.30 and 8.30, ALICE IN WONDERLAND: Miss Edith Terriss and Mr. Seymour Hicks; Mesdames Florence Lloyd, Emily Miller, Jessie Moore, Edith Haas, Lilian Burns, M. and F. Hersey; Messrs. Wm. Cheesman, Murray King, J. G. Buckstone, Stanley Brett, Frank Linc in, H. Cameron, Master George Hersey, &c. Preceded, at 2 and 8, by PUNCH AND JUDY.

ESTABLISHED 1851.

**BIRKBECK BANK,**

Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, W.C.

**CURRENT ACCOUNTS.**

2% on the minimum monthly balances, 2% when not drawn below £100.  
 DEPOSIT ACCOUNTS.  
 2½% on Deposits, repayable on demand. 2½%

**STOCKS AND SHARES.**

Stocks and Shares purchased and sold for customers. The BIRKBECK ALMANACK, with full particulars, post-free. FRANCIS RAVENSCROFT, Manager. Telephone No. 5 HOLBORN. Telegraphic Address: "BIRKBECK, LONDON."

**EDE AND SON,**

**ROBE MAKERS.**

BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT.

To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of the Judicial Bench, Corporation of London, &c.

ROBES FOR QUEEN'S COUNSEL AND BARRISTERS.  
**SOLICITORS' GOWNS.**  
 Law Wigs and Gowns for Registrars, Town Clerks, and Clerks of the Peace.

Corporation Robes, University and Clergy Gowns.

ESTABLISHED 1689.

94, CHANCERY LANE, LONDON.